

COTOTAL BLOCK DOMINATION IN GRAPHS

M.H. Muddebihal*

P.Shekana**

Shabbir Ahmed*

ABSTRACT:

For any graph $G(V, E)$, block graph $B(G)$ is a graph whose set of vertices is the union of the set of blocks of G in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding blocks of G are adjacent. A dominating set D of a graph $B(G)$ is a cototal block dominating set if the induced subgraph $\langle V[B(G)] - D \rangle$ has no isolated vertices. The cototal block domination number $\gamma_{bct}(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a cototal block dominating set of G . In this paper many bounds on $\gamma_{bct}(G)$ are obtained in terms of elements of G but not the elements of $B(G)$. Also its relation with other domination parameters were established.

Key words: Dominating set/Block graphs/cototal block domination

Subject classification number: AMS 05C69, 05C70

* Professor, Department of Mathematics, Gulbarga University, Gulbarga-585106

** Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Gulbarga University, Gulbarga-585106.

Introduction:

All graphs considered here are simple, finite, nontrivial, undirected, connected without loops or multiple edges. As usual, p and q denote the number of vertices and edges of a graph G . For any undefined term or notation in this paper can be found in *Harary* [2]. A set D of a graph G is a dominating set if every vertex in $V - D$ is adjacent to some vertex in D . The domination number $\gamma(G)$ of G is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set. A dominating set D of a graph G is a cototal dominating set of G . If every $v \in V - D$ is not an isolated vertex in the induced subgraph $\langle V - D \rangle$. The cototal domination number $\gamma_{ct}(G)$ of G is the minimum cardinality of a cototal dominating set. The concept was introduced by *Kulli*[4]. Now we define cototal block domination in graphs. A dominating set D of $B(G)$ is a cototal dominating set if the induced subgraph $\langle V[B(G)] - D \rangle$ has no isolated vertices the cototal block domination number $\gamma_{bct}(G)$ of $B(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a cototal block domination set. As usual, the minimum degree of a vertex in G is denoted by $\delta(G)$. A vertex v is called a cut vertex if removing it from G increases the number of components of G . For any real number x , $[x]$ denotes the smallest integer not less than x . A set of vertices in a graph G is called an independent set if no two vertices in the same set are adjacent. The vertex independence number $\beta_0(G)$ is the maximum cardinality of an independent set of vertices. A dominating set D is a total dominating set if the induced subgraph $\langle D \rangle$ has no isolated vertices. The total domination number $\gamma_t(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum cardinality of a total dominating set. This concept was introduced by *C.J.Cockayne*[1]. A dominating set D is a connected dominating set whose induced subgraph $\langle D \rangle$ is connected. This concept was introduced by *E.Sampath Kumar* [7]. A dominating set D of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a non split dominating set if the induced subgraph $\langle V - D \rangle$ is connected. The nonsplit domination number $\gamma_{ns}(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum cardinality of a non split dominating set. This concept was introduced by *Kulli* [5].

In this paper many bounds on $\gamma_{bct}(G)$ are obtained in terms of elements of G but not the elements of $B(G)$, also its relation with other domination parameter is established.

We need the following Theorems for our further results.

Theorem A[3] : A cototal dominating set D of G is minimal if and only if for a vertex $v \in D$, one of the following conditions holds.

- i) There exists a vertex $u \in V - D$ such that $N(u) \cap D = \{v\}$
- ii) v is an isolated vertex in $\langle D \rangle$
- iii) v is an isolated vertex in $\langle (V - D) \cup \{v\} \rangle$

Theorem B[6]: If G is a graph with no isolated vertices then $\gamma(G) \leq \frac{p}{2}$.

Theorem 1: For any graph G with $n - blocks$ and $B(G) \neq K_2$ and $K_{1,p}$ $p \geq 3$ then

$$\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq n - 2$$

Proof : Suppose $B(G)$ be a block graph of a graph G . Let $H = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, \dots, B_n\}$ be the blocks of G and $H_1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, \dots, b_n\}$ be the set of vertices of $B(G)$ which corresponds to the blocks of H . Now we consider the following cases.

case1: Suppose every cut vertex of G lies on atleast three blocks. Let $D_1 = \{b_i\} \ 1 \leq i \leq n$ set of cut vertices which are incident to the end blocks of $B(G)$. Again we consider the set $D_2 = \{b_s\}, \ 1 \leq s \leq n \ \forall b_s \notin N(D_1)$. since $\langle V[B(G)] - \{D_1 \cup D_2\} \rangle$ does not have an isolated vertices. Then $D_1 \cup D_2$ is a minimal cototal dominating set in $B(G)$. clearly $|D_1 \cup D_2| = \gamma_{bct}(G)$ which gives $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq n - 2$.

case2 : Suppose every cut vertex of G lies on atleast two blocks of G and atleast one nonend block is adjacent with atleast three blocks. Then $B(G)$ is a tree. Further we consider the two sub cases of *case2*

subcase 2.1 : Assume $B(G)$ is a tree with $\Delta[B(G)] \geq 3$. Let $D_1^1 = \{b_i\}, \ 1 \leq i \leq n$ be the set of all end vertices in $B(G)$. Suppose $\exists b_k \in B(G)$ is an end vertex and if the distance from b_k to the nearest vertex with degree ≥ 3 is atleast four, then $b_k \in D_2$ and $K = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, \dots, b_s\}$ where $\forall b_i, \ 1 \leq i \leq s$ are the vertices such that the distance between them is 3 with degree $b_i = 2$. Then $b_k \cup K$ gives the minimal block cototal dominating set. If there exists a path less than H , then b_k and $N(b_k) \in D_2$. Hence $|D_1^1 \cup D_2|$ is a minimal block cototal domination set of $B(G)$. Clearly $|D_1^1 \cup D_2| \leq n - 2$ which gives $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq n - 2$

subcase2.2 : Assume $B(G)$ is a tree with $\Delta[B(G)] \leq 2$ then $B(G)$ is a path. Let $B(G) = P_n: b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n$ be a path. Now $D_1 = \{b_1, b_4, \dots, b_{n-2}, b_{n-1}, b_n\}$. If P_n consists of $6k$ number of vertices for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ then $D = \{b_1, b_4, \dots, b_{n-2}, b_{n-1}, b_n\}$ be the minimal cototal dominating set of $B(G)$. clearly $|D| = \gamma_{bct}(G) \leq n - 2$.

If P_n Consists of other than $6k$ number of vertices, then the block cototal dominating set

$D = \{b_1, b_4, b_8, \dots, b_n\}$.Since each edge is a block in G with $n - 1$ number. Then $B(G)$ has $n - 2$ blocks .Clearly D gives the minimal block cototal dominating set and $n - 2 \geq |D|$ which gives $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq n - 2$.

Theorem 2: For any graph G , $B(G) \neq K_2$ or $K_{1,n}$, $n \geq 3$ then $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq \gamma_{cot}(G)$

Proof : Suppose $B(G) = K_2$ or $K_{1,n}$ $n \geq 3$. Then cototal dominating set dose not exists for $B(G)$. Hence $B(G) \neq K_2$ or $K_{1,n}$ $n \geq 3$. To establish the upperbound for $\gamma_{bct}(G)$, we have the following cases.

case1: Suppose G has atleast one block which is not an edge. Then there exists atleast one block which contains more than one vertex. Let $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$ and Suppose, $\exists B_i$ blocks in G , $i \geq 2$ with more than two vertices. Let $D^1 \subset V(G)$ such that $D^1 = \{V_j\}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ be a cototal dominating set of G . Suppose there exists some vertices of D^1 with $j \geq 3 \in B_i$ in G . Hence $|D^1| = \gamma_{cot}(G)$. Let $H = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, B_n\}$ be the set of blocks of G . Then there exists $H^1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n\}$ be the set of vertices in $B(G)$ corresponding to the blocks of H . Assume some $B_i \in H$ have more than two vertices in G . Then the corresponding $b_i \in H^1$ have a single-tone in H^1 . Now we consider $D \subseteq H^1$ which is a cototal dominating set of $B(G)$. If all b_i 's belongs to D , then $|D| = \gamma_{bct}(G) \leq |D^1|$ which gives $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq \gamma_{cot}(G)$.

case2: Suppose each block of G is an edge. Then G is a tree with $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_p\}$. Let $B_1 = \{v_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq p$ such that $B_1 \subseteq V(G)$ and every v_i is an end vertex, $B_2 = \{v_j\}$, $B_2 \subseteq V(G)$ each v_j is a vertex whose neighbour form an edge in a cototal dominating set of G . Now $D_1 = B_1 \cup B_2$ is a co total dominating set of G . Then $|D_1| = \gamma_{cot}(G)$. Suppose

$H = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, \dots, B_n\}$ be the blocks of G . Then $H^1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, \dots, b_n\}$ be the corresponding block vertices in $B(G)$. we consider the non end blocks of G which are cut vertices in $B(G)$. Let $H_1 = \{B_k\}$ be the set of all non end blocks of G which gives $H_1^1 = \{b_k\}$ be a set of cut vertices in $B(G)$. Hence H_1^1 is a γ_{bct} - set, $H_1^1 = \gamma_{bct}(G)$ clearly $|H_1^1| \leq |D_1|$ which gives $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq \gamma_{cot}(G)$

Theorem 3: For any (p, q) graph G , with m end blocks, $B(G) \neq K_2$ or $K_{1,n}$, $n \geq 3$

then $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq p - m$.

Proof : Suppose $B(G)$ is a complete graph K_2 or $K_{1,n}$, $n \geq 3$, by definition of cototal block domination the result does not exist. Hence $B(G) \neq K_2$ and $K_{1,n}$, $n \geq 3$.

For establishing upper bound to $\gamma_{bct}(G)$. Suppose $S = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, \dots, B_n\}$ be the blocks of G and $M = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, \dots, b_n\}$ be the block vertices in $B(G)$ corresponding to the blocks of G . Now $M_1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, \dots, b_m\}$ $1 \leq m \leq n$, $M_1 \subset M$ be the set of all end vertices in $B(G)$. Let $J = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, \dots, b_s\}$ be the set of all cut vertices in $B(G)$ and consider $J_1 \subseteq J$ such that $J_1 \neq \emptyset$. Now $\langle M[B(G)] - (M_1 \cup J_1) \rangle$ has no isolated vertex which gives a cototal block dominating set in $B(G)$. Hence $|M_1 \cup J_1| = \gamma_{bct}(G)$. Clearly $|M_1 \cup J_1| \leq |P| - |m|$ which gives $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq P - m$.

Suppose, $J_1 = \emptyset$ and every non end vertex has at least two vertices which are adjacent with other cut vertices. Then $\langle M[B(G)] - M_1 \rangle$ has no isolates which gives a cototal block dominating set. Hence $|M_1| = \gamma_{bct}(G)$. Clearly $|M_1| \leq |P| - |m|$ and is $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq P - m$.

Theorem 4 : For any graph G with $B(G) \neq K_2$ and $K_{1,n}$, $n \geq 3$ then $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq P - \delta(G) - 2$

Proof: Suppose $B(G)$ be a block graph of a graph G . Let $H = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, \dots, B_n\}$ be the set of all blocks in G and $H^1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, \dots, b_n\}$ be the vertices of $B(G)$ corresponding to the blocks of H . Let v be the vertex of minimum degree $\delta(G)$ such that $1 \leq \delta(G) \leq P - 1$. we have the following cases.

case1 : Suppose $\delta(G) = 1$ we consider the following subcases of case1.

subcase1.1: Assume that each block is an edge then $q = p - 1$ which gives $n = p - 1$ or

$n - 2 = p - 3$ by Theorem 1, $\gamma_{bct}(G) = p - \delta(G) - 2$.

subcase1.2: Assume that there exists atleast one block which is not an edge. Then $n < p - 1$

Which gives $n - 2 < p - 3$. By Theorem 1, $\gamma_{bct}(G) < p - \delta(G) - 2$.

On combining these two subcases, we have $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq p - \delta(G) - 2$.

case2: Suppose $\delta(G) \geq 2$. Then each block is not an edge. If G contains atleast $n -$ blocks and each block consists of at least three vertices, then G contains atleast $3n$ vertices. Therefore

$p - \delta(G) - 2 \geq 3n - 2 \geq n - 2 \geq \gamma_{bct}(G)$. Hence $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq p - \delta(G) - 2$.

Theorem 5: For any graph $G(p, q)$, $B(G) \neq K_2$ and $K_{1,n}$, $n \geq 3$ then $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor$

Proof: Suppose $B(G)$ is a complete graph K_2 or $K_{1,n}$, $n \geq 3$. Then by definition cototal block domination does not exist. Hence $B(G) \neq K_2$ and $K_{1,n}$, $n \geq 3$.

For establishing upperbound to γ_{bct} , we have the following cases.

case 1: Suppose each block of G is an edge. Then G is a tree. Let $S = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, B_n\}$ be the blocks of G and $M = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n\}$ be the block vertices in $B(G)$ corresponding to the blocks $B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, B_n$ of S . Let $S_1 = \{B_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ be the set of all nonend blocks in G and are cut vertices in $B(G)$. Again we consider a subset $S_2 = \{B_j\}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ of S such that the set $\{B_j\}$ is a set of all end blocks in G . Let $M_1 = \{b_i\}$ be the set of all block vertices with respect to S_1 , which are cut vertices in $B(G)$ and $M_2 = \{b_j\}$ be the set of all non cut vertices corresponding to the set S_2 in $B(G)$. Let $M_1^1 \subseteq M_1$ and $M_2^1 \subseteq M_2$. Now $\forall [B(G)] = S, \forall v_i \in S - \{M_1^1 \cup M_2^1\}$ has at least degree one. Then $\langle S - \{M_1^1 \cup M_2^1\} \rangle$ has no isolates. Hence $|M_1^1 \cup M_2^1| = \gamma_{bct}$. But $\gamma_{bct} \leq \min\{|M_1^1 \cup M_2^1|, |S - (M_1^1 \cup M_2^1)|\}$ by Theorem (B) $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor$

case2: Suppose G has atleast one block which is not an edge. Let $S = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, B_n\}$

be the blocks of G and $M = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n\}$ be the block vertices in $B(G)$ corresponding to the blocks $B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, B_n$ of S . Assume some $B_i \in S$ have more than two vertices in G . Then there exists at least one block $B_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $V[B_i] \geq 2$. Let M_1 be a set of all cut vertices, M_2 is the set of all non cut vertices in $B(G)$ such that $M_1, M_2 \subseteq M$. Now we consider $M_1^1 \subseteq M_1$. Suppose $M_2 = \emptyset$ in $B(G)$. Then $\langle V[B(G)] - \{M_1^1\} \rangle$ has no isolate and

$|M_1^1| = \gamma_{bct}(G)$. Suppose $M_2 \neq \emptyset$ in $B(G)$. Then there exist a subset $M_2^1 \subseteq M_2$ such that

$\langle V[B(G)] - \{(M_1^1 \cup M_2^1)\} \rangle$ gives no isolate. Clearly $|(M_1^1 \cup M_2^1)| = \gamma_{bct}(G)$. Since as in case 1, we have $|M_1^1|$ or $|(M_1^1 \cup M_2^1)| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{V[B(G)]}{2} \right\rfloor$ which gives $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor$.

Theorem 6: For any graph G , $B(G) \neq K_2$ and $K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$ then $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq S$, where S is the number of cut vertices in G .

Proof : Suppose $B(G)$ be a block graph of a graph G . If $B(G)$ is either $K_{1,n}$ or a complete graph K_2 . Then by definition, cototal block domination does not exist. Hence $B(G) \neq K_2$ and $K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$.

Suppose $M = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n\}$ be the block vertices in $B(G)$ corresponding to the blocks of G . Let $M_1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_j\} \subseteq M$ where $1 \leq j \leq n$ be the set of all end vertices in $B(G)$. Also $M_2 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_i\} \subseteq M, 1 \leq i \leq n$ be the set of all cut vertices in $B(G)$. Further we consider a set $M_3 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_s\} 1 \leq s \leq i$ such that $M_3 \subseteq M_2$.

Now $\langle M[B(G)] - (M_1 \cup M_3) \rangle$ has no isolated vertices which gives a co-total block domination in $B(G)$. Hence $|M_1 \cup M_3| = \gamma_{bct}(G)$. Suppose every non end block has at least two blocks which are adjacent with different cut vertices and is denoted these cut vertices by a set S . Then by the definition of $B(G)$, $|S| \geq |M_1 \cup M_3|$ which gives $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq S$.

On observing all the results connected to cototal block domination, we have easily obtain the following

Corollary 1: For a tree $T, B(G) \neq K_2$ and $K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$ then $p - q \leq \gamma_{bct}(G)$

Corollary 2: For any graph $G, B(G) \neq K_2$ and $K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$ then $\gamma_{bct}(G) = \gamma(G)$

if and only if G is a star.

Theorem 7: For any graph $G, B(G) \neq K_2$ or $K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$ then $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq P - \gamma_t(G)$

Proof: By the definition of cototal domination, $B(G) \neq K_2$ or $K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$. we consider the following cases.

case 1 : Assume G is a tree and let $S = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots \dots B_n\}$ be the blocks of G and $M = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots \dots b_n\}$ be the block vertices in $B(G)$ corresponding to blocks $B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots \dots B_n$ of S . Let $\{B_i\} \subseteq S$ such that all B_i 's are non-end blocks of G . Then $\{b_i\} \subseteq V[B(G)]$ which are cut vertices corresponding the set $\{B_i\}$. Since each block is complete in $B(G)$. Then every vertex of $V[B(G)] - \{b_i\}$ is adjacent to at least one vertex of $\{b_i\}$. Clearly $|b_i| = \gamma_{bct}(G)$. Since for a tree $T, P = q + 1$ then $P = B_n + 1$. Let V be the set of vertices in G and $V_1 \subset V$ which are non end vertices in G . Again consider a subset $V_2 \subset V_1$ which are also non end vertices of G . If $V_1 - V_2 = D$ has no isolated vertex. Then D is a total dominating set, which gives $\gamma_t(G) = |D|$. Hence $|b_i| \leq P - |D|$ which gives $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq P - \gamma_t(G)$.

case2: Suppose G is not a tree. Then there exists at least one block which is not an edge. Let $B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots \dots B_n$ be the blocks of G and $b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots \dots b_n$ be the corresponding block vertices in $B(G)$. Since each block of $B(G)$ is a complete and if a vertex $v \in D$ there exists a vertex $u \in V[B(G)] - D$ such that $N(u) \cap D = \{v\}$ is a minimal cototal dominating set D of $B(G)$. Then $|D|$ gives cototal block domination number $|D| = \gamma_{bct}(G)$ in $B(G)$. Let $V(G)$ be the set of vertices of G . Let $D_1 \subset V(G)$ such that $V(G) - D_1$ gives a disconnected graph and every vertex of $V(G) - D_1$ is adjacent to at least one vertex of D_1 . Then D_1 is a total dominating set. Hence $|D_1| = \gamma_t(G)$ is the minimum total dominating set. Clearly $|D| \leq P - |D_1|$ which gives $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq P - \gamma_t(G)$.

Theorem 8 : For any graph $G, B(G) \neq K_2$ and $K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$ then $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq \gamma_t(G) + \beta_0(G) - 3$

Proof : From the definition of co total domination $B(G) \neq K_2$ and $K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$. Suppose $S = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots \dots B_n\}$ be the blocks of G . Then $M = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots \dots b_n\}$ be the

corresponding block vertices in $B(G)$ with respect to the set S . Let $H = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$ be the set of vertices in $G, V(G) = H$. we have the following cases.

case 1: Suppose G is acyclic. Let $H_1 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n, H_1 \subset H$ such that $\forall v_i \in H_1$ is an end vertex in G . Then $H_2 \subseteq H$, where $\forall v_j \in H_2$ are at a distance at least two from each vertex $v_i \in H_1$. Then $|H_1 \cup H_2| = \beta_0$.

Let $J_1 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ are non end vertices in G . Suppose $J_1^1 \subset J_1, \forall v_j \in J_1^1$ are adjacent to atleast one vertex of J_1 . The induced sub graph $D = \langle J_1 - J_1^1 \rangle$ has no isolated vertex which is minimal. Then $|D| = \gamma_t(G)$.

Let D_1 be a block cototal dominating set in $B(G)$. If a vertex $v \in D_1$ then there exists a vertex $u \in V[B(G)] - D_1$ such that $N(u) \cap D_1 = \{v\}$ is an isolated vertex which gives a minimal cototal dominating set. Clearly $|D_1| = \gamma_{bct}$ which gives $|D_1| \leq |D| + |H_1 \cup H_2| - 3$.

Hence $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq \gamma_t(G) + \beta_0(G) - 3$.

case 2: Suppose G is cyclic there exists atleast one block which is cyclic or contains a cycle in G . Let $H_1 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n, H_1 \subset H$ and $H_2 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_s\}, 1 \leq s \leq n, H_2 \subset H$. Since $H_1 \cap H_2 = \emptyset$, then for every vertex in H_1 and H_2 which are incident to exactly one vertex in H . Therefore $H_1 \cup H_2$ is a independent set in G which gives $|H_1 \cup H_2| = \beta_0(G)$.

Let $U \subset V(G) = H, \forall v_i \in U$ is a cut vertex in G and $U_1 \subset H$ such that $\forall v_i \in U_1$ which are adjacent to atleast one vertex in U such that $U \cap U_1 = \emptyset$. Then $\langle D_2 \rangle = U \cap U_1$ exists which have no isolated vertex, defines total dominating set which gives $|D_2|$ as minimum total dominating set. Clearly $|D_2| = \gamma_t(G)$

Let $M_1 \subseteq M, \forall v_s \in M_1$ is an end vertex in $B(G)$, also $M_2 \subseteq M \forall v_j \in M_2$ are cut vertices which are adjacent to atleast one vertex in $v_s \in M_1$ such that $M_1 \cup M_2$ defines co total dominating set and gives $|M_1 \cup M_2| = |D_3| = \gamma_{bct}(G)$.

Hence $|D_3| \leq |D_2| + |H_1 \cup H_2| - 3$ which implies $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq \gamma_t(G) + \beta_0(G) - 3$.

Theorem 9: For any graph $G, B(G) \neq K_2$ and $K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$ then $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq \gamma_c(G)$.

Proof : For cototal domination, we consider the graphs with the property $B(G) \neq K_2$ and $K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$.

We consider the following cases

case1: Suppose each block is an edge in G . Let $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$ and $V_1(G) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_i\}$ where $1 \leq i \leq n$ where $V_1(G) \subset V(G)$ for every v_i is an end vertex in G . The minimal connected dominating set is given by $\langle V(G) - V_1(G) \rangle$. Hence $|V(G) - V_1(G)| = \gamma_c(G)$.

Let $M = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n\}$ be the block vertices in $B(G)$ corresponding the blocks

$S = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, B_n\}$ since each block of G gives end vertices in $B(G)$. Then $M_1 = \{b_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n, M_1 \subset M$ in which every b_i is an end vertex. Suppose $M_1^1 = \{b_j\}, 1 \leq j \leq n, M_1^1 \subset M$ every b_j is a cut vertex in $B(G)$. Let $M_2 \subset M_1^1$ and $\langle M - \{M_2 \cup M_1^1\} \rangle$ has no isolated vertex. Then $|M_2 \cup M_1^1| = \gamma_{bct}(G)$. Clearly $|M_2 \cup M_1^1| \leq |V(G) - V_1(G)|$ which gives $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq \gamma_c(G)$.

case 2 : Suppose there exists at least one block which is not an edge. Let $K = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, B_i\}$ be the sub set of blocks, $\forall B_i \in K$ has at least three vertices. Then the cardinality of S will increase. But in case of $B(G)$ each block becomes a vertex in $B(G)$. Let M_1 be the minimal dominating set of $B(G)$, such that $\langle M - M_1 \rangle$ has no isolates. Hence

$|M_1| = \gamma_{bct}(G)$. Since $V[B(G)] \subset V(G)$. We consider a set $D = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\} \subset V(G)$ such that $\langle D \rangle$ is connected with minimal cardinality. Hence $|D| = \gamma_c(G)$. Clearly $|M_1| \leq |D|$ which gives $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq \gamma_c(G)$.

Theorem 10 : For any graph $G, B(G) \neq K_2$ and $K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$ then $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq \gamma_{ns}(G)$

Proof : For block cototal domination, we consider the graphs with the property such that $B(G) \neq K_2$ and $K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$. Let $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$ and D is a dominating set of G . If a vertex $v \in D$ there exists a vertex $u \in V(G) - D$ such that $N(u) \cap D = \{v\}$ gives minimum non split dominating set such that $|D| = \gamma_{ns}(G)$ we have the following cases.

case1 : Suppose each block of G is an edge. Then in $B(G)$ each block is complete. Let $M = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n\}$ be a set of vertices in $B(G)$ which corresponds to the blocks $B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, B_n$ of G . Let $M_1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n, M_1 \subset M$ be a dominating set in $B(G)$ which are adjacent to atleast one vertex in $V[B(G)] - M_1$. Then

$\langle M - M_1 \rangle$ has no isolated vertex, gives cototal domination set. Clearly $|M - M_1| = \gamma_{bct}(G)$. Hence $|M - M_1| \leq |D|$ which gives $\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq \gamma_{ns}(G)$.

case2 : Suppose each block of G is not an edge. Then G is not a tree. Hence each block contains at least three vertices in G . Let $M = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n\}$ be the block vertices in (G) . Suppose $J_1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n, J_1 \subset M$ which are end vertices in $B(G)$. Let $J_2 = \{b_j\}, 1 \leq b_j \leq n, J_2 \subset M$. Every b_j is a cut vertex in $B(G)$. Suppose

$J_3 = \{b_s\}, 1 \leq s \leq n, J_3 \subset J_2$. Clearly $\langle J_3 \cup J_1 \rangle$ is a cototal dominating set. Then $|J_3 \cup J_1| = \gamma_{bct}(G)$. since at least one block of G contains atleast three vertices. Then cardinality of γ_{ns} - set will increase. Hence one can easily verify that $|J_3 \cup J_1| \leq |D|$ which gives

$$\gamma_{bct}(G) \leq \gamma_{ns}(G) .$$

Theorem 11: For any graph $G, B(G) \neq K_2$ and $K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$ then $\gamma_{bct}(G) + \gamma_{cot}(G) \leq P$.

Proof : Suppose $B(G)$ is a complete graph, by definition cototal domination $B(G) \neq K_2$ or $K_{1,n} n \geq 3$.

Let $S = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, B_n\}$ be the set of blocks of G . Then $M = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n\}$

be the corresponding block vertices in $B(G)$. Let $M_1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n,$

$M_1 \subset M$ are the end vertices in $B(G)$. Let $M_2 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_j\}, 1 \leq j \leq n, M_2 \subset M$ which are non end vertices in $B(G)$. Again $M_3 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_s\}, 1 \leq s \leq j$ such that $M_3 \subset M_2$. Then $\langle M - \{M_2 \cup M_3\} \rangle$ has no isolates. Hence $|M_2 \cup M_3| = \gamma_{bct}(G)$.

Let $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_p\}, H = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq p$ be a subset of $V(G)$ which are end vertices in G . Let $J = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_j\} \subseteq V(G)$ with $1 \leq j \leq p$

such that $\forall v_j \in J, N(v_i) \cap N(v_j) = \emptyset$, then $\langle V(G) - \{H \cup J\} \rangle$ has no isolates. Thus

$|H \cup J| = \gamma_{cot}(G)$. Now $|M_2 \cup M_3| + |H \cup J| \leq |V(G)|$, which gives $\gamma_{bct}(G) + \gamma_{cot}(G) \leq P$.

Theorem 12 : If v be an end vertex of $B(G)$, then v is in every γ_{bct} - set. If $B(G) \neq K_2$, and $K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$.

Proof : For cototal domination, we consider the graphs with the property such that $B(G) \neq K_2$, and $K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$.

Let $D = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq V[B(G)]$ be the minimal cototal block dominating set of G . suppose there exists a vertex set $D^{-1} \subseteq V[B(G)] - D$ be the γ_{bct} - set of G . Assume there exists an end vertex $v \in V[B(G)], v \in D^{-1}$. Now consider any two vertices u and w such that $u, w \notin D^{-1}$. Since $v \in D^{-1}$, v is in every $u - w$ path in $B(G)$. Further, since $\deg(v) = 1$

where $v \in V[B(G)]$ it follows that the set $D^1 = (D^{-1} - \{u, w\}) \cup \{v\}$ is also a minimal cototal dominating set of $B(G)$. Clearly $|D^1| = |D^{-1}| = 1$, a contradiction to the fact that D^{-1} is also a γ_{bct} - set of G . Hence $u \in D^{-1}$ and v is in every γ_{bct} - set of G .

Theorem 13: For any connected graph G with n - blocks $\overline{B(G)} \neq K_2$ or $K_{1,n}$ and $n \geq 3$ then $\gamma_{ct}[\overline{B(G)}] \leq n - 2$

Proof : From the definition of co total domination $\overline{B(G)} \neq K_2$ or $K_{1,n}$ and $n \geq 3$. Suppose $S = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, B_n\}$ be the blocks of G . Then $M = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n\}$ be the corresponding block vertices in $B(G)$ and $\overline{B(G)}$ with respect to the set S . Let $M_1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n, M_1 \subset M$ for all $b_i \in M_1$ which are end vertices in $\overline{B(G)}$. Again $M_2 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_s\}, 1 \leq s \leq n, M_2 \subset M$ which are non end vertices in $\overline{B(G)}$. Also $M_3 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_j\}, 1 \leq j \leq s, M_3 \subset M_2$ such that $\forall b_j \in M_3$ which are also non end vertices in $\overline{B(G)}$ which are adjacent to atleast one non end vertex in $\overline{B(G)}$. The induced sub graph $\langle M - (M_1 \cup M_3) \rangle$ has no isolated vertices. Then $|M_1 \cup M_3| = \gamma_{ct}[\overline{B(G)}]$. Suppose

$M_1 = \emptyset$ then $|M_1 \cup \emptyset|$ has no isolated vertices which gives minimum co total domination. Clearly $\gamma_{ct}[\overline{B(G)}] \leq n - 2$.

Further we developed the following theorem of Nordhaus- Gaddum type- Results.

Theorem 14: If G and \overline{G} are connected graph, $B(G)$ and $\overline{B(G)} \neq K_2$ or $K_{1,n}$ and $n \geq 3$ then i) $\gamma_{ct}[B(G)] + \gamma_{ct}[\overline{B(G)}] \leq 2(n - 2)$

$$ii) \gamma_{ct}[B(G)] \cdot \gamma_{ct}[\overline{B(G)}] \leq (n - 2)^2$$

Proof : From *Theorem (1)* and *Theorem (13)* the above results follows.

References:

1. C.J. Cockayna, R.M.Dawes and S.T. Hedetniemi, Total domination in graphs, Networks, 10(1980) 211-219.
2. F.Harary, Graph theory, Adition_wesley, Reading mass, (1969).
3. T.W.Haynes, S.T.Hedetniemi and P.G. Slater, Fundamentals of domination in graphs, Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York (1998)
4. V.R.Kulli, B.Janakiram and R.R. Iyer, the co total domination number of a graph, J.Discrete Mathematical sciences and cryptography 2 (1999) 179-184.
5. V.R. Kulli and B.Janakiram, the non split domination number of a graph. Indian J.Pure Appl.math, 31 (2000) 545 -550.
6. O.Ore, Theory of graphs, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq.publ., 38, providence, (1962).
7. E.Sampath Kumar and H.B.Walikar, The connected domination number of graphs, J.Math.phys. sci., 13 (1979) 607-613.