

ANALYTICAL STUDY OF ARCHITECTURE, URBAN PLANNING OF COPTIC MONASTERIES

Mahmoud Ahmed Darwish*

Abstract

The objective of this study to highlight the evolution of the urban planning of the Coptic monasteries, and its architectural elements through the Islamic age.

The Stages undergone urban planning from mid of fourth century to the Islamic conquest and from the Islamic conquest to nineteenth century.


The analytical study contains urban planning and the architectural elements which witnessed several developments during the period from the 4th to 19th century, consists of the defense buildings, civilian buildings and churches. The research focused on the features of urban planning, and the treatments were reflected on some semblance of desert architecture.

Keywords: Frist keyword; Suez Gulf, Second keyword; St. Paul monastery, Third keyword; St. Antony monastery, Fourth keyword; urban planning, Fifth keyword; Islamic age

**Professor of Islamic Archaeology, Minia University, Main Road - Shalaby Land - Minia,
Minya***

1 Introduction

The monasteries of St. Anthony and St. Paul are the oldest Christian monasteries; they both date back to the 4th century AD, when monks began to settle at the foot of the Red Sea mountain of Galala Al-Qibliya, in hidden cliffs and caves. Both monasteries are considered as the holiest sites of the Coptic Church in Egypt. Located off the Za'farana Road leading to BeniSuef, some 70 km south from AinSukhna, (45 km) from Hurghada City, the Monastery of St Anthony is a large complex encircled by high walls, including churches, chapels, a bakery, a garden and a spring, celebrating the father of monasticism, Saint Anthony. Things have evolved drastically from the time when back in the 4th century, there were only a few hermits hiding in these cliffs . After a hike through the cliffs and plateaus of the Galala Al-Qibliya Mountain, you can reach the monastery of St Paul, which was built in the 4th century by hermits who had chosen to isolate themselves from the material world at the very same location where Saint Paul had chosen to live in a cave for 90 years. St. Poal monastery [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], is located south-east of St. Antony monastery, [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], on Galala mountains was known as Cells mountainto minimize the spread of thousands of times in the past, [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], will rise by the Monastery of St. Paul (244 m) above sea level),[25], [26], [27], [28], [29], (fig.1).



*fig.1. St. Paul monastery in eastern desert
between the Red sea and the Nile*




fig. 2.Diksarand refectory

It was built in the last quarter of the 4th century, [30], [31], [32], [33], [34], [35], over the cave where St. Paul had set for eighty years before his death (341), [36], [37], [38], [39], [40]. Monks who settled there during fifty years, [41], [42], [43], [44], [45], [46], (This site represents one of

the monk's groupings in Egypt, where a model of Bachomian living (Joint), [39], [47], [48], [49], [50], which originated by St. Bakhumeos), [51], [52], [53], built a church on the cave and then a monastery around it, [37], [54], [55], [56].

The Stages undergone urban planning contains:

1. 1. From mid of fourth century to the Islamic conquest

The form of St. Paul monastery more ambiguous compared with St. Antony Monastery, we can not use the book of St. Jerome (342-420) on the life of St. Paul (Vita Pouli) only with great care because of limited value as a historical sources, and in any case the talks Sverosremember (Deir Mar Paula) and found that it was present year (400A.D), If we accept this version, it would support the probability that St. Paul was historically existed and there was no report that visibility was sufficient to gather students around him during his life, who withdrew to the desert and did not lead directly to establish a monastery on his name, it happened later when the model of his life, as happened with St. Antony between (357-360A.D), Rufinos visited it (375A.D), which Bustomian told that he visited both monasteries, [52], [57].

The cave of St. Paul, it was wide from inside a closed of a small stone, with a large cleaning and diastole and soft sand scattered them, [55]. When the monks settled in the last quarter of the 4th century, they built a church over the cave, consisting of two sanctuaries of St. Paul and St. Antony. The monastery was fortified with walls and held the first keep in the era of Emperor Jostinean (6 A.D), [37], which has increased the reconstruction of the monastery.

2. 1. From the Islamic conquest to nineteenth century

- The restoration and expansion of Abu Sefeen church and building Angle Mikhail church, [58], walls, diksar, refectory, mill and the eastward expansion of the monastery in the era of Fatimid Caliph Al-Hafiz (1130-1149).
- Gabriel VII (1520-1568) renewal the monastery after a Bedouin destroyed it in (1484).
- In 1701, Yuanis XVI rebuilding the churches, cells the bell towers and restoration the walls southward expansion.
- Angle Michael church was renewed by Yuanis XVII in 1727.

- Abu Sefeenchurch had essential construction and renovation of the walls by IbrahimJawharyin (1781A.D).

- In the early of (19 A.D) AnbaChristodoulos reconstructed the monastery where the annexation of the west side nearly nationwide took the annexation walls again, and the eastward expansion of the monastery had also repaired the walls of old churches.

2. The analytical study

The urban planning witnessed several developments during the period from the 4th to 19th century; Jean Coppen (1638) made first description of the church cave, and drawing Claude Sicard in the year (1716) outline of the monastery without any details as well as Richard Pococke in (1743), spoke about both the monastery Henry Tattam (1839) and PorphyriusUspensky (1850) and George Schweinfurth (1876), [29],[58], [59], [60], it consisted of four sections:

1.2. The defense buildings

1.1.2. The entrance pulley (fatuli), (pls.1-2-3)

The monastery does not have a ground level entrance until the early of 20 century. It has one entrance which consisted of a square in the cavity wall covered with a room in the background slot, consisting of vertical wheel composed of six vertical pieces of wood installed in the column based installer from the bottom of the land is higher in occasional tie extends between two walls.



pl. 1. The main gate and the pulley (fatuli)

pl. 2. The crane to withdraw

By pulley is used to withdrawn visitors and goods up to the top of the wall and into the monastery through the diksar, Visitors rang the bell to the monks of their arrival. A trap door in the floor of a projecting room on the top of the walls was opened, and a hoop, secured by rope

netting, was lowered to haul up., the bell tower is located to the left, flying corridor related to diksar which works as the main entrance.

There is an ancient hoist(Mat'ama) at the right tackle; the form of the triangle base consists of a horizontal wheel with a wooden handle that is based between two wooden columns rallied around the rope used to download food from the land slot, the modern gate (3.0m) closes supported by wooden door with nails rail. Entrance and pulley like in St. Antony monastery and St. Catherine, [46], the entrance also resembles that of Muharraq and St. Antony. Vaccinated Resemble than of St. Antony monastery.

2.1.2. The walls (pl.4)

The monasteries were surrounded by high defensive walls, [60], with (10.0m) height and (2.0m) thick; and flat surface (footpath) was determined from the outside by a wall that allows and controls the movement of individuals, which is based on the rock-matrix building blocks, including small stones, gravel, sludge, and developed layer thick plaster on both internal and external sides.



pl. 3. The vaccinated

pl. 4. The south-eastern corner



pl. 5. The gate after entering the monastery

pl. 6. The northern wall

The bastions in St. Poal monastery were provided with arrow slits, the second floor contains the control room with a door leads to the corridor (pls.5-6-7) and connected to it and bastions by staircases; was supported from the inside walls, pillars and a prominent ramp adjacent to the lower part (pl.8). The old western wall is located at a distance (36.5m) from the church of angle Michael and advertising projections mud brick; the old walls have undergone renovation works at the expansion of the monastery at different stages, the restoration and expansion of the walls in the era of Caliph Al-Hafiz (1130-1149), Yuanis VI (1701), Ibrahim Al Gawhary (1781), and Christodoulos in 19th century, [29], [35], [58], [60], [61]; not only left the wall separating the sections along the east and west (59.0m), as noted on the left inside the monastery and a portion of the wall in length (12.0m) was related to the northern wall from the pulley flying east through the mill, diksar and the churches of St. Paul, Abu Sefeen (pl.5), noted that the impact could spread beside the bastion located in the northeast (pl.6) length (10.70m), where another wall had been added in the middle away from the old wall (10.0m) when they were building an entrance, resulting in a courtyard and east, thereby requiring the demolition of the old wall.



pl. 7. One bastion of the northern wall pl. 8. The northern wall

As demonstrated by examining the southern part of the monastery at the cells that there was a wall along the pulley flying to the southern wall of angle Michael church, where remained part of the wall south of the corridor down the bell tower, and extended the length of the wall (10.0m), even with respect to the bastion in south-western corner (pl.12), and held the southern wall at a distance of the current (16.5m) south. There is no doubt that there were not any walls at all in the first stage (4 A.D), monastery where started

quoting St. Paul cave, and then the monks gathered and built their cells around it, then started to build the church over this cave,[39], [49].

After a number of raids on monastic communities (5-6 A.D) resulting in vandalism, looting and killing, Derek groups began seriously consider establishing a solid bastion resort to be so equipped warehouse containing food necessary for a long time, spring water and church and thus necessitate the establishment of the monastic devoutness bastion, which became a haven for monks at the time of the raids, although the building of walls around monasteries had begun in the 5th century, however, risks started to St. Paul monastery in the 6th century, that was the cause of fencing around it, [39], [52].



pl. 9. The stairway leads to the keep



pl. 10. The wooden drawbridge



pl. 11. Wooden wheel



pl. 12. The eastern side of the keep

During (5-6 A.D) there were a large number of building walls around monasteries, where the emperor Jostinean (530A.D) the reconstruction of the monastery had also increased and built fortified walls, [37], [62], came persecution Kirsch (631A.D), who banish huge number of monks, and so officials building on the establishment of monasteries guarded high walls, consisting of more than one layer to prevent attacks, as they felt the monks and solidarity within the community, The walls are the basic element of each building sacred since ancient imperial

era Bakhumeos even, for that was credited with developing the saint law guaranteed that the monasteries should be surrounded by high walls and no monk right to get to the fields or hiking in the convent or monastery go outside without so requests chairman of the monastery, [47], [63]. Had been restoring the walls in the era of Fatimid caliph Al-Hafiz(1130-1149A.D),[60], as well as by John the 16th in (1701A.D), [35]. A wall to fortify the nucleus, which represented the most important elements of the church as well as the bulwark and cells and confined within the entire assembly monastic devoutness wall surrounding it, where was ready to be integrated city without the need to get out of it, [55], [57],[64], [65], [66], [67]. Monks were keen to be the nucleus far from the walls and isolated from all sides, so no one could enter it through the climbing wall or nearby buildings, [57], [68], [26], [69], [70], [41], [71], and these walls are similar in all its elements as architectural walls of St. Antony monastery, [72], [73]. Groupings were priesthood in the early models to reduce the accommodation Derek surrounded by walls erected in (5 A.D) and lasted into (7 A.D), [74], were unable to ever play the role of defensive which means effective.

The buildings feature are poor and relatively little thick, it did not reveal a great rise, the walls simply means reducing the area of residence and can not be considered immunized in any way. So it could rate the wall surrounding the area and placed the mud brick (6 A.D) as in the antiquities area about the Christian cemetery of St. Menasin Maruit, [52],[71], Abifanios, the City monastery in Thebes, [55], Nitria, [52], Fakhouri, [41], and Albulayza west of AbuTeeg, [46].

The stages through which the old walls, [35], [58], [60], [61], where occurred in the renovation and expansion in the era of Fatimid Caliph Al-Hafiz (1130-1149), St. John VI (1701), Ibrahim Jawhary (1781), and the Patriarch Christodoulos (19 A.D). It only remains for only two walls interval between east-west and middle-eastern sections, it appears to the left of home and a part of the old eastern wall was related to the north through the wall east of churches, and the impact of this wall along the bastion, located in north-east of the monastery, had spread east walls of the keep. It turned out that it was adding another wall in the middle when they were building entrance, the result that the courtyard in the east side of churches, requiring the demolition of the old wall with the

exception of the areas which are related to the northern and southern walls, a mill and pulley in the south and the north-east of the northern wall, so we attribute this renovation to the stage that was held by the entrance.

When Meinardus, [35], draw the outline of monastery, there was an error occurred in determining the direction of the remnants of the wall in this area where identified from east to west with the fact that it extends from south to north, had resulted in a mistake to become more widespread eastern courtyard was deporting all elements of a monastery to west and therefore contrary to reality. The southern wall extends south-west pulley to the southern wall of angle Michael church, where remained for portion of the wall south of the corridor down the bell tower, the wall was extended until the connection with the bastion south of the separation wall between eastern and western sections (pl.12).

3.1.2. The Keep

After entering the convent door, open court is found to the west of St. Paul church, Abu Sefeen and stairway between them (pl.9) which is (0.90m) in width, leading to the wooden drawbridge (4.0m) which is located on the second floor (pl.10), and there is help in a wooden wheelwrapped rope used to lift the bridge (pl.11). The Keep is the last of the defensive building and takes a systematic planning of the four floors, [75], (pls.12-13), a length of the east (10.40m), west (9.50m), north (10.75m), south (9.25m), a height (7.0m).

The building blocks were from burnished stone was covered with gypsum, the under third was covered with gypsum, either two top thirds of stones and mud brick fortified mindy wooden tie beams in two rows each, while the left phenomenon was in the rest of the building.

Entrance located in the eastern wall and was covered with whole course pulley. Crossing the cavity, leads to the first floor which was in the past, its ground floor served as a cemetery for the monks. The second floor was a storeroom for the food reserves which would sustain the monks through a period of long siege. The third floor has a chapel dedicated to the Holy Virgin,

which is roofed with a wooden cupola. There are also cells for the monks on this level of the keep. At the outset of a small area was causing the capacity and inability to absorb large numbers of monks for long periods.

The entrances of the first keeps located on the ground floor, fortifies of Abu Faneus (end of 6 to Beginning of 7A.D) and marine, two of the oldest models despite the beginning of the rowers (5 A.D), were major of entrances of keeps located on the first floor (beginning of 7 A.D), which took the form of outer keep four-rib and is planning the biggest ground, and moved the entrance up.

This was the crucial difference between the keeps before (7 A.D) and beyond, as it was the case in monasteries and keeps in Nitria and Fakhouri, the keep was built on the wall and used for observation and protection together; which was vulnerable in the wall and this explained the addition of building a ramp to the lower part of wall, [57], [52].



pl. 13.The southern side of the keep

pl. 14.Diksar

A spot in the keeps, which was the original places in the second half of the 6th century, [52], and showed how to build keeps externally and internally that pressed keeps and monasteries were established in the era of the Fatimid Caliph Al-Hafiz (1130-1149), [60], of St. Antony, Nitria, [39], Muharraq, St. Simeon, Red monastery of Sohag and Fakhouri, the establishment and reconstruction of a number of towers in Muharraq, Simeon, Red monastery in Sohag, St. Antony, St. Paul and Fakhouri in Fatimid age (11-12 AD), [60].

2.2. Civilian building

1.2.2. The diksar and the refectory (pl.14fig.2)

It is located in the east of the refectory, [76], [77], [35], as a rectangular room, covered by barrel vault based on two decades. Within the monastery, there is an ancient refectory that is no longer in use; it is situated in the east wing of the complex.

The refectory (pl.15) is entered by a way of a narrow passage, and on the west side with western entrance, the main axis of the refectory is covered by a barrel vault. Within, a heavy masonry table with a lectern fashioned on its west end, from which the sacred texts and the lives of the saints and martyrs were read during the community meal, dominates the room.

Resembles diksar of St. Peter and St. Paul church in St. Anthony monastery, as well as Virgin church in Syrian monastery. A refectory part of the kernel was the central place south of St. Paul church, but not connected with the church located between Abu Sefeen, [52].

The refectory resembling those in St. Antony, and in Nitria, [39], [52], where there were refectories in the west of the main church in two cases in Anba Beshoy and Syrian monastery in south-west in one case in Baramus, [57], its list to the south west of the Virgin church, located in the north-west of the northern wall of the church of St. Antony, but in Muharraq, it was also not related to the old church, located in Saqqara west of the small church, [72], and of St. Simeon, while the upper balcony was the only church known in spot based on the lower terrace, [78], and therefore excluded from the refectory at Abu Maqqar, which is far from churches, and in all cases corridor away from the central nucleus, [57].



pl. 15. The dining hall




pl. 16. Details of the mill

A special preparation of bread oven which was near the refectory, was built of stone ovens or plaster-coated brick and took the form circular or rectangular, [81], with a ventilation holes on the ground level were the form of pipes pots of ventilation chimneys and squinches of storage and conservation of fuel and benches, and models of these furnaces what characterized revelations in the oven Abufaneus, St. Simeon, [72], Saqqara, [78], Nitria, [79], and Esna existence of a square to ignite fuel above a circular hole of bread, there are ventilation holes in the rear.

2.2.2. The mill: (pls.16-17-18fig.3)

In the west side of the narrow passage, there are two mills to grinding grain. The entry corridor is breadth and separate between diksar, refectory and mill, the corridor narrows at the dining room to (2.15m), there are two mills,[52], [80]. Within are big millstones which were operated by huge wooden gears turned by draft animals. There are examples in St. Simeon monastery, [52].



fig, 3. The Mill fig.4. The cave and St. Paul church


3.2.2. The olive oil:

Is located adjacent to the northern wall at a distance (21.0m) from St.Paul church, [80]. There are examples, [72], [52], in Saqqara and St. Antony, [52].


4.2.2. Spring water: (pls.19)

Was named after St. Paul, which resides in the north wing of the complex,[48],[37], it continues to supply water at the rate of about four cubic meters per day. The water comes from a mountain crevice and flows into a cemented reservoir tank that is used for drinking and cooking. A small drain allows the surplus water into a second reservoir, which is used by the monks for washing,

and a final drain carries off the remaining water into a large basin where it is distributed for irrigation, However, a second spring, known as Miriam is located about one hundred meters to the south of the monastery. It was named after the sister of Moses and Aaron, who according to tradition washed there during the Exodus. A system, [52], similar to that was found in clusters in Nitria, [57], [81], around the main church in Saqqara and of St. Simeon, [82], [72],[65], [57], [81].



pl. 17. Details of the mill pl. 18. Details of the olive oil



pl. 19. Details from the inside of the spring of water pl. 20. The entrance to St. Paul and Abu Sefeen churches


5.2.2. The cells

Were set up in south-western corner, they comprise the rooms for monks, [76], [83], [77], [28], which been held by the renovation work even they change the original landmarks. Modern cells, which had been established after the expansion of the monastery south cells, filled all the confined space between the wall and remnants of the current reality wall south of angle Mikhail church between church and bell tower, and a street extended between cells from the linear east to west and north oversee sing the court and spreading to the alleys accidental.

The cave, which was resorted to by St. Paul quoting the first cell [41], had turned into a church later as the sanctuary St. Paul, were digging in front of the lobby of the cemetery

and adding another sanctuary in the north (the sanctuary of St. Antony), and moved the monks to stay united within cells erected adjacent to the walls. Therefore, can be regarded as the cave, the church, the fortress and the cells the nucleus of the Monastery, [39], as was the case in all monasteries, [84], in St. Simeon reduce erected on the keep which was considered a last resort and safer in case of attack, [72].

The cells with vaulted entrances, thick walls and half barrel vaults with mud brick, as was the case in the cells in Nitria, [84], [85], (4-5 AD), Abufanios in Thebes, [86], the building in the upper Kom Abu Gergawest Alexandria, [55], [75], (6 AD), archeological buildings in the St. Apollo in Bawit, [80], (6-8 AD), St. Simeon, [72], the compound monastery, [41], St. Beshoy in Nitria, [84], [39], [55], [52], city in Thebes, [55], Jeremiah in Saqqara, [55], Fakhouri, [41], and St. Antony which discovered two cells (During the mission the Supreme Council of Antiquities repairing the monastery), near the Apostles church depth of (2.0m) to (2.50m) which the entrance began by some stairs of stone lead to two rooms inside the first traces of a small oven and the remnants of primitive stoves for cooking and some parts of walls still covered with a layer of mortar.



pl. 21. The stairs between pl. 22. The choir of St. Paul church
St. Paul and Abu Sefeen churches

Still some parts of the room covered with mortar, in the second chamber can found stream of water and a small building of brick. It was believed that the oldest cells were used by the Coptic monks of the worship, (Found during operations to repair the monastery oldest model of the language under the Apostles church, which of the century (3 AD), quoting the discovery of two rooms and when cleaning the walls of one room were found on the second writing Coptic inscription), covered with domes or built by log palm and it was built in the lap of rocky mountain area located north and south. The cells in Nitria were built from mud brick and

sometimes mixed with sand, lime and sand was a land of syndication, gravel, gypsum and red ocher, [41].

3.2. Churches:


Across the corridor leads to the court, which mediates churches and keep (pl.20), there are three churches of St. Paul, Abu Sefeen, angle Michail and the Virgin church engine in the keep

1.3.2. St. Paul church:

Sometimes referred to the cave church also, next to the bell tower of Abu Sefeen church, sits three meters below the current level of the monastery grounds, was built around the cave of St. Paul in the last quarter of the fourth century, [87], accessed via the stairway linking with Abu Sefeen church (pls.21-22). One can enter this church via a staircase attached to the chapel walls. The church proper consists of one nave in the center and three sanctuaries dedicated to the twenty four elders of revelation north, St. Antony (center), and St. Paul (south). The central and south sanctuaries and the part of the nave facing them were excavated from the rock itself while the remainder of the building to the north is made of masonry.



pl. 23. Abu Sefeen church



pl. 24. Angle Michael church



pl. 25. Angle Michael church

pl. 26. Angle Michael church

The walls of church were built of twigs and scheduled by a layer of mortar and had been strengthened in some places stereotypes brick and stone or wood belts, and the ground is from stone as the case in decades. The ceilings and domes had been scheduled from twigs and was covered with gypsum internally and externally supported wooden girders and stone domes, [52].

The narrow area where the blanks had been used rectangular core pillars of the wall replaced with pillars scattered body left the church without the whole works, and the pillars in most cases without rules or capitals.

2.3.2. Abu Sefeen church

Is located south of St. Paul church over the cave, [29], (fig.4-5), It is on the right of the bell tower (pl.23) western refectory consists of two brackets and was covered with a pointed dome based on a small square neck, also it is involved along the first (2.0m) and second floor (1.25m). Church is rectangular in shape and divided into two square pillars along each side (1.15m), the church consists of two tunnel vaulted choirs, the sanctuary is covered with a dome.

3.3.2. Angle Mikhail church




fig.5. St. Paul and Abu Sefeen church Fig. 6. Angle Mikhail church

The largest church, [88], situated in south-west of the keep (pl.24) and distance (8.0m), led by bell tower, which resembles the bell tower of Abu Sefeen church, accessed via a

corridor (pl.25) divided into two parts between them opens the door to cells in the south and covers the first basement also covers other dome, leading to the entrance which was moving north.

Church (fig.6) is rectangular, It has two sanctuaries including one dedicated to St. Michael to the north, and to St. John the Baptist in the south. While the true spiritual center of the monastery is the subterranean church of St. Paul, it is too small for the daily liturgy, so this service is held in the church of Angle Michael. The domes (Meinardus stated that the roof consisting of twelve cupolas), [35], are similar in size almost equal to six vestibule east, south and remain on the sanctuaries, based on contracts, including pointed vaults above wooden tie beams, (pl.26) and shallow vaults above the northern portico.

4.3.2. Virgin church in the keep

In the third floor of the keep [35], (fig.7) attributed to the Virgin, (This dedication to the Virgin, usually runs in the monasteries of the Coptic church devoted to higher staffing Mikhail where attributed to the large church located at the center of the monastery), which are choir and sanctuary covered with a wooden dome. In the last quarter of (4 A.D), monks began to establish the first church on the cave of St. Paul and the entrance of the south-western corner leading to the vestibule progressing sanctuary of St. Paul held in the north and another sanctuary of St. Antony, then held the northern part of the church, which was characterized as divided into three sections, as the northwestern vestibule and eastern sanctuary represents twenty-four martyrs, the three sections covered with higher domes based on pendentives, this was similar in construction planning with St. Antony church (fig.8) where due to the Fatimid age (12 A.D).

The mode of building walls, ceilings and domes was the same method used in St. Antony monastery of twigs and scheduled by a layer of mortar, internally and externally had been strengthened in some places brick and stone or wood belts, the floors and arches of stone, and supported the wooden roof girders. The old churches in the monastery of St. Paul domes resemble cells bees, which resemble the domes of St. Antony, and angle Michael church under the remains of the church building components were discovered different rules includes columns

and remnants of gypsum covering the walls were built in the ages of the oldest of the current era of the Apostles church built on the ruins, like the Apostles church in St. Antony monastery, [46]. As for the date of churches had stated that the church cave had been expanded and renovated in the Fatimid age, Gabriel VII (16 A.D) reconstructed the monastery after the Bedouin destruction, Exposure to the monastery attacks in the Bedouin (1484) where the slaughter was destroyed and devastated most of the monks remained abandoned until after the renewal of life has been done by Gabriel VII. In the second half of the 16th century attacked the monastery and robbed twice and forced the monks to leave. Although in 1701, St. John XVI rebuilt the repair of churches, cells, the bells; restore the keep and walls southward expansion would indicate a number of churches. In 1727, St. John XVII renewed Angle Mikhail church, [29]. In 1781, Ibrahim al-Gawhary had the essential building Abu Sefeen church and renewed the walls, [58]. We can determine the date of these churches to the Fatimid age, angle Mikhail church had been rebuilt in 1727 and renewal Abu Sefeen church in 1781.

St. Paul church (figs.7-8) characterized that there were no divisions this arrangement due to the nature of the site had set up by reliance on the cave after a nucleus which made it unnecessary addition of corridors, given the small size of the blanks had been the pillars of the core wall while leaving the body church without the whole works and were pillars in most cases without rules or capitals, [52], [57].

While in the churches of St. Antony and Abu Hinnis a narrow and rectangular planning, so the addition of columns or pillars would be filled limited from the outset. It also marked a three sanctuaries on a single line in the Eastern side, as a common situation in Nitria, Virgin church in Baramous, Virgin church in Syrian monastery, St. Antony and St. Beshoy. In the main church in Saqqara and Abu Hinniss, we found that the three sanctuaries of equal size, in St. Antony and St. Paul and the other two were rectangular, [52]. Abu Sefeen church had taken the same architectural planning of Iwan (fig.7), the sanctuary was an Iwan edged toward the west had been added to convene a narrow nave in the west and reiterates of angle Michael church (fig.6) to add another nave end of the middle sanctuary, either the narrow nave was established in the north corridor leading into

the church in the south. This planning can be explained by the architect that did not want to make the entrance to the church overlooking the gardens, but near the cells.

We could mention that the number of sanctuaries was to govern the planning of Abu Sefeen and angle Michael that Abu Sefeensanctuary to include either one church of angle Michael has been to include sanctuaries, architect had been keen that the entrance to the southern corridor linked to cells, so that direct entry without going out to the court.

St. Paul church form a transitional planning didn't belong to the micro not square churches to series form of the rectangular that was linked to planning, but less extensively choir with a three sanctuaries on a single line at the eastern end, which was similar to the transition churches of St. Antony and Virgin church in Muharraq, [52].

We can find the particular importance in terms of planning that had changed little since its construction, and there were no naves in the churches of St. Paul and St. Antony where each divided into three sections by prominent pillars of the side walls, although the sections of St. Antony monastery larger area, and constituted the eastern part in both cases a supplement containing choir and sanctuary. Choir inseparable from the court in either case as necessarily clear division with a catch.



fig. 7. Virgin church in the keep church

church (Fatimid age 12 AD)



fig. 8. Expansions of St. Paul

(Fatimid age 12 AD)



fig. 9. St. Antony

Regarding to churches in Egyptian monasteries, the evolution of basic planning could be pursued to return to the church in transition, and reflected the internal arrangements to some extent hybrid models mentioned by the Egyptian monasteries represented the church and St. Antony, Muharraq and monasteries in Nitria, [52].

The triple planning differed the eastern side of the transitional churches post as a single sanctuary that was common practice in early Christianity, this was sanctuary in the church of St. Paul and mounted in the cave, has been expanded later to create other sanctuaries in the north, therefore differs substantially from churches in the next stage where included stone sanctuary on both sides have been converted to other sanctuaries, and supported the view that it had begun to spread significantly during the Fatimid age (10-12A.D) as the Virgin church in the Syrian monastery (10A.D) and the Virgin church in Moharraq (12A.D), where this affected by the Iwan planning, [89], [64], [57], [6], [35].

The idea of the triple division of the architectural vocabulary employed in each business depending on the circumstances of using, style and materials created, which confirms the widespread use of this division in the Fatimid age as it was in the Abbasid and Tulunid ages, but different formulas were different patterns in architecture, the triple partition was the idea of architectural notes replicated in Fatimid architecture, It is tripartite division models what we see in the role of Fustat.

The existence of the portico that connected in all cases by the sanctuaries had been associated with elements and units that fall behind and noted that it included main Iwans which represented the sanctuaries and their rooms imposed planning area income, naves or other elements, which reveals the essential link between units, between Iwan and the presence vestibule led, and that the presence of the portico had been associated with architectural planning of unit, was a triple planning, [90], this planning of its assets in Abbasid architecture and Iraq, it often received from Iraq to Egypt in the Tulunid Age, [91].

The Fatimid additions in St. Paul church consists of three sections representing the first concourse entrance, choir and sanctuary represented twenty-four martyrs distinct a triple planning in the Fatimid houses (10A.D), where the room knew that was planned by the two opposite Iwans, [92], and influenced the planning of Fatimid churches scenes planning and residential architecture Tulunid and Fatimid, especially in terms of court, which mediated the building and located on the side by two Iwans, [93], [94], [95], [96], [97], [98], [98], [92], [91], [90].

A number of Fatimid churches planning of Iwan relevance to the exercise of the Christian rites, where the church occupied by Riwaq supervised by the two Iwans in the east and west, the eastern Iwan was a sanctuary covered with a dome and a room on each side as was the case in the church of martyrs monastery and the continued emergence of Iwan planning in Kobbanya, St. Simeon and St. Antony, [99].

Consequently, the internal planning of this church, which resembled a church, St. Antony (fig.8) and Muharraqchurch was divided into three sections: nave, choir and sanctuary, there are three entrances from the choir to the sanctuaries in the St. Poalchurch, the ceiling was built of brick and stone, sanctuaries covered by domes and implemented openings for lighting domes and eastern walls, and used the mud bricks and pay also used stones in the floors and in strengthening the foundations.

The planning of the church which was the nave with the dome of as emerged internationally in Mesopotamia which found in Amud church in Diyarbakir, and spread in Italy, such as the church of St. Bosco and appeared in the Armenian churches(13 A.D), [100], [101], [102], [103], [104], [105], [106], [107],[108]. It was found in martyrs monastery, St. Simeon, Nuba churches, Genia - Jandal, Sheikh Abdul Kader, Surra, church of west Basilicas in Maruit, the church of St. Arsenious in the short Monastery, [72], the angle church in Babylonians stairs, St. Beshoy in Nitria, the Virgin church which lies north of Abrim palace, Strait Corozico church, Mar Girgis monastery at Sidment in Ihnasia, St. Sam'aan, St. Victor in kamula, Virgin church at Hammam in Lahun and Fakhouri, so that Iwan is planning to nave in the east and west.

This was confirmed that churches did not have the nave and choir are not authentic, despite the existence the sanctuary and square or rectangular stone on both sides, found in Baramous and Beshoy, as is St. Paul and St. Antony within churches in transition.

The church of St. Paul has particular importance in terms of planning that has changed little since its construction, there were no naves in any churches and both were divided into three sections by prominent pillars on the side walls, an eastern section in both cases a supplement

containing choir and sanctuary, inseparable choir in either case as clearly necessarily with a catch and possibly dividing the rectangular format of the church section procedure, which took the form of the cross church seem so short and sophisticated Egyptian pure, so we did not find in St. Paul church and St. Antony church as we could find in Nitria and most churches in Cairo, [52], Although they were a part of the original churches.

The bell towers are square plan, with vaulted windows, the square neck of vaulted window stool, and the bell tower above the helmet was based on sequences. This bell towers were similar to the minaret of Joyoushi, [109], [110], [111], and all the monasteries of the Fatimid age.

3. The architectural elements

1.3. Arches

The semi-circular arch has emerged in the entrance, churches, halls, cells and above the spring water. In churches, the arches based on the columns and pillars, with wooden tie beams above the capitals of columns. The emergence of pointed arches due to the Fatimid renovations of churches and monasteries as Abu Sergain ancient Egypt (10 A.D), St. Barbara (11 A.D), martyrs monastery (11-12 A.D), St. Beshoy, Fakhouri, Nitria (12 A.D), Virgin church in Syrian monastery and the church in northern Abrim Palace (12 A.D). the keep in Muharraq (12 A.D), [60], [112], [113], [114], [98], [115], [61], [41], [116], [117], [35], [52].

2.3. Vaults

In the church of Abu Sefeen, Angle Michael, the corridor leading to the court, which mediated churches, the corridor leading to Angle Michael church, diksar, refectory, the corridor leading to the spring water and rooms above, divided diksar roof and refectory into three parts which feature two arches (It's Syrian feature), as in Virgin church in Baramus, Virgin church in Syrian monastery, St. Beshoy church in Nitria and St. Barbara, all these churches had been renovated in the Fatimid age, this shift has been to build cellars portable decades since the century (12 A.D). The vaults had emerged in many examples as Nahia monastery, Mar Girgis next to martyr church, Gabriel in Fustat and Mar Yacoub south of Cairo. There was a vault covering the corridor leading to cells of Fakhouri, lateral sanctuaries in Simeon.

The nave of Virgin church of the roman crew, Aba Moon church in TukhMattuor, Gabriel church in Fustat, Mar Girgischurch in the trench monastery, Abu Sergachurch in ancient Cairo and Virgin Church in zuelacrew and Abu Sefeenchurch in ancient Cairo. The Virgin church in zuelacrew aesthetic of wood was based on the wooden tie beams, as Fakhouri, Martyrs church and Simeon monastery, [60], [35],[46], [52].

Cross vaults are appeared in the keepemerged as Muharraq, Simeon, Red monastery inSohag, St. Antony, Fakhouri, Virgin in Syrianmonastery, Virgin church in Baramus, St. Beshoy, martyrs, St. Simeon and Kobbaniya,[98], [61], [41], [116], [117], [46].

3.3. Domes

They were appeared in the churches, the hall above the first spring water and the sanctuary of the St. Antony in St. Paul church, pendentives on the spherical domes while at the other three churches on sequences. Pendentives also appeared in Bajawat cemetery (4-6 AD), In the Sheikh Abada and domes in Aswan cemetery, used in Fotouh gate and Aqmarmosque, lime kilns near the white monastery inSohag (12 AD) and the mud dome in it, a contemporary of Aqmarmosque, also found some domes cemetery Aswan, [118], [91].

Squinches, [119], [120], [115], [91], were appeared in the domes of St. Paul church, AbuSefeen, Angle Michael, Aswan domes,[119], [91], Hakim Mosque, [120], Goyoshi and seven daughters domes, while churches in the Fatimid age had emerged in diksar of St. Beshoy in Nitria, which was located north of Virgin church in Syrianmonastery and its diksar. Which indicates a clear indication that the sequences widely used in Fatimid architecture were distinguished and groves had found examples of Christianity, which was established in the Fatimid age, was another feature of the spread of the Fatimid style elements in the groves of Christianity.

4. The features of urban planning

Monastery consists of structured social status (the church), and a group of buildings utilities outstanding explained that the human capacity and reduced its reliance on the land where increased control over the environment, [123], due to the presence of a monastery in the desert

climate was continental, the monks in order to implement environmental treatments upswing and architectural, had been implemented and developed a view for maintaining energy and the temperature inside the buildings, because of the scarcity of means of heating in winter and summer natural ventilation methods. These treatments were reflected on some semblance of desert architecture, [121].

Although the site was not available way of life only appointed water and palm trees, but the true meaning and career of architecture in this site ways to create a place of human activity and religious convent to be a center for radiation civilization was not a place to escape, It was then the way to find this place and equipped to meet the humanitarian needs which were most important, which was the theoretical basis for the design of the monastery, [122], as a social institution closely linked to land and their effectiveness, [123].

1. 4. The engineering design of the monastery

The most important conditions for the establishment of the monastery proximity to the water source that would help on the duration of water needed for its population. The plan was characterized that convent church is located at the center and the alleys and streets permeate the architectural blocks with inter-urban courts, [124]. One of the conditions for establishing monasteries repulsed of the injured were brought benefits by establishing walls around the monastery and choosing places where good air, and taking into account the terms of site selection to confront climate and environmental conditions, [118].

2. 4. The materials

Monks used materials that helped to conserve heat and to prevent the influence of solar radiation and thermal insulation mud brick, had been resorting to means of protecting the walls and foundations to build the lower parts by stones, which provided defenseless thermally of spaces, [125], and helped to keep cold air in the internal spaces most daylight hours during the rise air temperature abroad.

The environmental architecture by using multiple layers to protect buildings and plastered by lime and processors, [126], as white reflected sunlight and reduce the absorption surfaces heat

(successive layers of plastering and selection of light color),the plaster isolate walls and to protect it from rain and strengthened. In the upper floors, there are narrow windows to provide them by air.

3. 4. The church was the first foundation of planning

The building of first church of St. Paul in the center became the basis of planning monasteries, other churches were built around or near it. Then, this influence extended to the planning of the monastery, where a whole then distributed components and plans around the monastery church, As Fustat, Baghdad and elsewhere, where the city adopted a plan to organize the main square of any mosque at the site of the whole same, [118].Itwas the first destination in the planning. Therefore, the planning followed a unit in the design, architectural elements and it's biological, which led to the consensus models of buildings depending on the building in a desert environment, the sense of uniting elements, including architectural did not preclude diversity in form or external engineering design without any material difference in style and construction, were based on architectural design convent of the components of the environmental conditions of the region, [127].

The Urban Environment significant impact on the planning of the monastery had been respected the cave of the St. Paul and made it the focus of attention by the administration of other buildings around it included a refectory, AbuSefeen church,keep,and the spatial orientation of streets and squares with the stricter guide the blanks according to internal planning of churches.

4. 4. The convergence of buildings

To represent the architectural and one block to resist climatic factors, [128], due to space constraints and foremost by the monastery, located inside the walls, because of the design of narrow streets and narrow spaces free, so in order to establish a backyard architectural Interior to provide air and natural lighting and provide privacy to residents reduce social. The thick walls helped to provide the shadows and reducing exposure to sunlight,[129].

5. 4. The compilation of buildings in groups of high-density construction (increased building densities)

A number of environmental conditions that had governed the design according to two principles: It had a fortified walls and streets of major longitudinal and latitudinal converge at the center where the church and keep. To feeder roads and distribution sites of cells and buildings to reduce services as an area of the monastery, and took into account what might develop from the premises. With built adjacent to each other so as to reduce the area of the external surfaces of the buildings and to reduce the area of open spaces, to achieve proper thermal insulation, and this was reflected in the central part which was the governing social center (the church), which attracts of the structure of society and included a group of churches, cells, and buildings and buildings to reduce services as mill, olive oil, refectory and keep.

There are two vaulted corridors, the first to three churches of St. Paul and AbuSefeen moving to the north, the second to the Angle Michael church was heading to the west in order not to open the doors of churches directly on the court. The vaulted corridor in Angle Michael church oversees the court under the bell tower.

The visual effects between the church and its environment were one of the main axes of physical relationship between them in terms of visual composition divergence between the external spaces and court, it represented the biggest in a vacuum inside the monastery, which gave overwhelming sense of spatial disparity between the narrow spaces winding bustling and full of life and expanding the systematic annihilation of simple configuration. The visual composition of the churches through the ratings dominance and control optical when the church dominated the pacific spatial and physical docked to the monastery where the surrounding urban environment, [130].

6. 4. Directing buildings appropriate guidance to exposing them to air (building orientation and sitting)

The gardens in the northern section to improve the climate and environment helped to mitigate and reduce the air temperature in different parts. With intensive cultivation of trees along the embankment buildings were to protect them from wind and obscured summer sun (solar screening), these trees were to reduce the operating temperature moisturizing result of the physical environment (climate-micro vegetative cooling).

7. 4. The internal courtyard

For lighting and ventilation Interior units might be kept closed when units of the four aspects opened or surrounded on three sides, [131]. The climatic factors have a significant impact on the planning of the monastery and its basic design, [132], which the area between AbuSefeen church, mill, keep in east and Angle Mikhail church in west. Cells in south as an internal courtyard of the monastery amid lighting and ventilation the interior units as it closed by units from the east, west and south, and open between the keep and Angle Michael church, this court helping to extinction moisturizing the hot air.

The architect used backyard gardens, which helped to improve the environment of the areas of warm weather and increase the proportion of shading and helped to mitigate and to reduce the air temperature (the shadows during summer 45% and winter 75%), and increased efficiency in shading where high walls, the temperature of less than outside the courtyard between four to seven degrees [133], of containment and efficiency of extinction repository for cold air. As it forked narrow corridors attract air currents inside where these corridors has big role in providing light and reduced air also through the windows by performing at the top.

8. 4. The high walls

As windbreakers, it represents a buffer adjacent to the heat as a result of increased thickness, as in the cells beside the southern wall, which has been built to adjacent this line of defense on this side.

9. 4. The narrow streets between elements of the monastery

Helped to lack of exposure to direct sunlight, especially with the higher buildings and widening diversity in terms of the respective particular posts, and varies in width between (4.0 m) on the streets between the main doors and the center for the monastery, (2.0:3.0 m) of the special streets bustling main sections within the monastery, and (1.5:2.0 m) street overlooking the cells.

The streets were directed from north to south, so that the facades of buildings and roads to sunlight. So, the streets were cast throughout the day and acquired north wind with the proportion of high shading, as characterized meandering streets and concluded places and a bit wide

(metaphorically) play as courtyard and worked to moderate cold air storage at night and prevent its infiltration with the first storm of wind.

The narrow streets with open internal backyard most important manifestations of urban planning for the monastery might increase the proportion of open spaces offer to rise from (1:5).

These spaces deep (deep outdoor spaces) are providing protection from the shadows and sunlight, allowing the movement of air from the narrow streets which were high-pressure areas to the inland backyard which represented low-pressure areas especially during the day and exposure to sunlight (unpaved path network).

The streets and lanes aim straight conversion to spend the cold or hot winds or the hot-laden dust and sand. The narrow streets would prevent so through zigzags and stoops and provide shaded areas as well by following the architecture had the means to cover the streets to protect them from the heat of the sun and rain, [118]. In the case of non-shaded streets, the architect implement rose faced buildings overlooking the street, and the implementation of these Naples until receiving juts oversized shadows and the streets.

The emergence floors are overlapped which helped air traffic and its regeneration from the bottom to top.

Monks who cleaned streets to be daily workshops and miss had prevented the rain water drainage and street failure to implement were in the street so as not to hurt bystanders had been implemented tear in the wall to reach the water canal.

10. 4. Provide the service entrances of buildings covered or shaded

With limited aspects governed by walls or buildings surrounding the (entry vestibules), working to protect the door of the building from wind and from the sun, and limit the movement of air space between the internal and external, in addition to opening the door to the building itself a small rise in supply, we find that in St. Paul church.

11. 4. Scarcity of external openings

From windows and doors as the limited number of flattened too small was to achieve a great deal of privacy so that the external walls of buildings seemed as if they were deaf, and was designed to be used for guarding and observation. The aperture narrow range of home and from abroad to broaden the perspective of vision, which gave a sense of security and lead to control the passage of light where alleviated direct sunlight and other direct and control the humidity of air, and the location of each hole would be chosen carefully and wisely with shaded aperture and protection (scarcity of external openings and window location). The windows were narrow entrances of the air inside the rooms paid for out from the other side to complete the movement of air.

12. 4. The domes and vaults

Were used to cover most buildings were in addition to being a symbolic gesture, where they solved environmental, climatic, installation and functional, the high domes and vaults on horizontal surfaces allowed the assembly and the withdrawal of hot air inside the buildings located at the top and expelled outside, and a variety of forms and methods to move from square to octagon to circling by pendentives and squinches.

Conclusion

- Although many references briefly talked about the coptic monasteries, this study is important in addressing urban planning aspects of the study included analytical and architectural elements and stages of urban planning and urban planning features.
- The Coptic monasteries witnessed developments upswing, both in the first mid fourth century to the Islamic conquest to the nineteenth century.
- There were not walls at all in the first stage in the fourth century, and then the monks gathered built cells around it, then, started to build the church.
- Engineering design was the most important conditions for the establishment near the water source. It was planning to mediate the church and be introduced its alleys and streets of concrete blocks and inter-urban yards.
- The basis of design planning monasteries to be the first church building, were at the center of the monastery on the eastern side, other churches had been built around or near them,

and then extended this to influence the planning of the monastery, where a whole then distributed components and plans around the monastery church.

- Capital planning unit in fashion design and architectural elements, which led to the consensus models of buildings, depending on the building in a desert environment, the sense of uniting elements, including architectural did not preclude diversity in form or external engineering design without any material difference in style and construction, was based on architectural design of the components monastery on the environmental conditions of the region.
- Urban Environment significant impact on the planning had been respected for the first nucleus of the St. Paul church and made it the focus of attention the administration and other buildings around the spatial orientation of streets and squares with the stricter guide the blanks according to internal planning of churches.
- In order to establish a backyard architectural Interior to provide air and natural lighting and provide privacy to residents reduces social, the thick walls helped to provide the shadows and reducing exposure to sunlight.
- The establishment was followed by a number of environmental conditions that had governed the design according to two principles, first it was a fortified walls and streets of major longitudinal and latitudinal converge at the center where the church and keep, and the second was the feeder roads and distribution sites and buildings to reduce services as an area of the monastery and to take into account what might develop from buildings.
- Visual effects were between the church and its environment one of the main axes physical relationship between them in terms of visual composition divergence between the blanks of foreign affairs and court since it represented the biggest in a vacuum inside the monastery.
- The climatic factors significant impact on the planning of the monastery and its basic design, which was the area between the churches, mill, keep and minimize the south amid internal courtyard flat lighting and ventilation units Interior, helped moisturizing the hot air, up to the highest replaced by cold air.
- High walls surrounding the premises of the monastery works for wind and increased thickness made it a buffer thermally adherent of the buildings as in minimizing the immediate vicinity of the southern wall.

- Cells were built on the south side adjacent wall to be a defensive bastion from this side and characterized as a small housing area on both sides of the alleys long roundabout.
- Directing street from north to south, the facades of buildings and roads to sunlight, would be observed with the apparent movement of the sun, as characterized meandering streets, narrow streets and the ends about the broad courtyard just played and worked to moderate cold air storage at night and to prevent its infiltration with the first storm for wind.
- The narrow streets helped on the lack of exposure to direct sunlight, especially with the rise buildings and widening diversity in terms of the respective posts in particular, narrow streets with open backyard internal most important manifestations of urban planning, which provided protection from the shadows and sunlight was not to make the streets and lanes aim straight conversion to spend the cold winter winds or wind-fifths of the hot-laden dust and sand.

References

- [1] Hawks F. L. (1801). *Monuments of Egypt*, p.161.
- [2] Agnew, J. H. & Bidwell W. H. (1846). *The Eclectic Magazine: Foreign Literature*, New-York and Philadelphia, p.295.
- [3] Wilkinson J. G. (1847). *Hand-book for Travelers in Egypt*, J. Murray, p.269.
- [4] Hawks F. L. (1850). *The Monuments of Egypt*, Published John Murray, p.161.
- [5] Crum, E. (1895). *Anecdota Oxoniensia Semitic literature*, p.307.
- [6] Smith, W. & Wace H. (1877). *A Dictionary of Christian Biography, Literature, Sects and Doctrines*, Little, Brown & Co, 1, pp.67-68-685.
- [7] Adeney, W. F. (1908). *The Greek and Eastern Churches*, C. Scribner's sons, p.574.
- [8] Chisholm, H. (1910). *The Encyclopedia Britannica: A Dictionary of Art*, p.391.
- [9] Herzog, J. J. & others (1910). *The New Schaff-Herzog Encyclopedia of Religious Knowledge*, Funk and Wagnalls Company, p.297.
- [10] Herbermann, C. (1913). *The Catholic Encyclopedia*, Robert Appleton company, p.591.
- [11] Herbermann, C. (1914). *The Catholic Encyclopedia*, The Encyclopedia press, p.28.
- [12] Curzon, R. & Hogarth D. G. (1916). *Visits to Monasteries in the Levant*, H. Milford, p.121.
- [13] Morton, H. V. (1938). *Through Lands of the Bible*, Dodd, Mead & company, p.381.
- [14] Brooklyn Museum (1944). *Coptic Egypt*, Brooklyn Institute of Arts and Sciences, the Brooklyn museum, p.47.

- [15] Nawrath, A. (1963). *Egypt; the Land Between Sand and Nile*, Kümmerly & Frey - Chicago, p.139.
- [16] Kinross, P. B. (1966). *Portrait of Egypt*, Pub.W. Morrow, p.62.
- [17] Dalrymple, W.(1998).*From the Holy Mountain: A Journey among the Christians of the Middle East*,H. Holt, p.418.
- [17] Nichols, A. (1991). *The Shape of Catholic Theology: An Introduction to Its Sources*, Liturgical Press, p.106.
- [18] Fahlbusch, E. Geoffrey W. (2001). *The Encyclopedia of Christianity*, Tr. Geoffrey W. Bromiley, Eerdmans,p.73.
- [19] Kamil, J. (2002). *Christianity in the Land of the Pharaohs*, The Coptic Orthodox Church, Routledge, p.265.
- [21] Ibrahim, F. N. & Barbara (2003). *Egypt: An Economic Geography*, I.B. Tauris Publishers, p.194.
- [22] Teece, G. (2004). *Christianity -Black Rabbit Books*, p.24.
- [23] Leroy, J. (2004). *Monks And Monasteries Of The Near East*, Tr. Peter Collin Published, Gorgias Press LLC.
- [24] Angold, M. (2006). *Eastern Christianity*, Cambridge Univ.Press, p.508.
- [25] Malone, E. (1956). *The Monk of the Martyr*, in BasilliiSteidle, Antony Magnus Eremita 356-1956, Rome, p.206.
- [26] Atiya, A. (1968). *A History of Eastern Christianity*, London, p.61-69.
- [27] Friend, W. (1982). *The Early Church*, London, pp.188-190.
- [28] Athanasius, S. (1992). *Life of St. Antony*, Monastery of St. Antony, Cairo, pp.14-16-19-38-39.
- [29] Lyster, W. (1999). *Monastery of St. Paul*, American research center in Egypt, Cairo, pp.31-32-34.
- [30] Meinardus, O. (1961). *Monks and Monasteries of the Egyptian Desert*, American Univ. in Cairo Press.
- [31] Wessel, K., (1965). *Coptic Art*, Trans. Jean Carroll and Sheila Hatton, New York.
- [32] Meinardus, O. (1965). *Christian Egypt, Ancient and Modern*, American Univ. in Cairo Press.

- [34] Al-Syriani, S. and Badii. (1990). *Guide to Ancient Coptic Churches and Monasteries in Upper Egypt*, Inst. of Coptic Studies, Dept. of Coptic Architecture, Cairo.
- [34] Atiya, A. S. ed. (1991). *The Coptic Encyclopedia*, 8 vols. New York - Macmillan.
- [35] Meinardus, O. (2002). *Christian Egypt, Coptic Art and Monuments through two Millennia*, American University in Cairo Press, pp.39-40-92-93-107-108-127-167-103-131-166-167-169-170-247, fig.53-103, fig. 56.
- [36]Atiya, A. S. (1948). *Nashaat el-Rahbana el-Maseahya*, (The emergence of Christian Monasticism), an extract of a letter of Mar Minas, p.8.
- [37] Amin, H. (1963). *Derasat fi tareakh el-rahbaniawaldearia el-masria*, Studies in the history of the Egyptian priest and derik, Cairo,15.
- [37] Habib, R. (1978). *Tareakh el-rahbaniawal-dearia*, (Date the priest and deric), Cairo,pp.36-43
- [38]Youhanna, M. (1982). *Tareakh el-Kaneasa el-quibtia*, (The history of the Coptic Church), Cairo, 3,p.93.
- [39] Al-Meskean, M. (1984). *Al-Rahbana el-Quibtia fi Asr el-Qedeas Abu Maqqar*, (Coptic Monasticism in the era of St. Maqqar), St. Maqqar monastery, 2, pp.45-48-98-203-206-207-325-328-329-394-591.
- [40] Tawadhrous, S. (1987). *Al-adyera el-masria el-a'mera*, metropolitan Egyptian monasteries, Cairo, p. 50.
- [41] Shiha, M. (1988). *Derasatfil-Emarawalfonoun el-quibtua*, (Studies in Coptic architecture and art), the Egyptian Antiquities of Authority – Cairo,pp.23-72-155-173-200-239-242 figs.6-8-13-39-85-168-457.
- [42] Luke, M. (1998). *San PaulAwal el-sowah*, (St. Paula, the first of tourists), Cairo.
- [44] Amelineau (1894). *Histoire des monasteries de la BasseEgypte*, p.80.
- [45] White, C. (1998). *Early Christian Livers*, London, pp.70-75-84.
- [46] Samuel, A. (2002). *Daleal el-kanayeswal-Adeyra*, (Directory of churches and monasteries in Egypt), Cairo, pp.31-121-174-220-221-222.
- [47] Hodges, G. (1915). *The Early Churches from Ignative to Augustine*, New York, pp.154-156.
- [48] Maqrizi, TaqiEddin Ahmed Ben Ali d. 1442 (1856). 2, p.50.
- [49] Abdul-Hamid, R. (2000). *Al-Fekr el-masryfil-asr el- maseahy*, (Egyptian thought in the Christian era), Cairo, pp.266-269-292-293-401-402.

- [50] Qadous, E. (2002). *Al-Atharwal-fonun*, p.45-47-48-89 fig.119.
- [51] Abdul-Malek, M. B. (Sep. 2004). *Luxor fil-asr el-qibty*, (Luxor in the Coptic era), Rakouti 1-3, p.25.
- [52] Waltz, K. (2005). *Al-Adyera el-Athareya fi misr*, (Ancient monasteries in Egypt), tr. Ibrahim Salama Ibrahim, Cairo, pp.3-18-26-27-29-31-50-51-62-65-80-310-311-315-321-317-347-348-411, figs.2-14-32-62-70-71-125-137-149-153-185-311-320-116-124-184, pls.19-21-33.
- [53] Shokry, M. (Jan. 2005). *Adwaaeala el-rahbana el-qibtia*, (Spotlight on Coptic Monasticism), Rakoti, 1-2, p.7.
- [54] Baedeker K. (Firm)& others (1906). *Palestine and Syria with the Chief Routes through Mesopotamia and Babylonia*. C. Scribner's sons, p.21.
- [55] Qadous, E. (2002). *Al-Atar el-quibtiawal-beazantia*, (The Coptic and Byzantine monuments), Alexandria, pp.39-40-41-47-48-49 figs.13- figs.38-39-40-59.
- [56] Butler, A. (2004). *The Ancient Coptic Churches Of Egypt*, Kessinger Publishing, p.341
- [57] White, E. (1932). *The Monasteries of Wadi-n-Natrun*, 3, pp.8-12-42-46-55-56-57-84-142-143-224-230. pl.134b.
- [58] Butler, A. (1884). *The Ancient Coptic Churches of Egypt*, Oxford, p.346.
- [58] Grossmann, P. (1991). *Architectural Elements*, Coptic Encyclopedia, 1, New York, pp.194-226.
- [59] Jean, C. (1971). *Les Voyage en Egypte (1638-1646)*, Edited with notes by S. Sauneron, Cairo.
- [60] Abul-Makarem (1895). *Al-kanayeswal-adyera*, (churches and monasteries), known history of Sheikh Abu Salih the Armenian, the publication of Butler and Iewitz, Oxford, pp.1-2-31-33-35-78.
- [60] Sicard, C. (1982). *Letters et Relation Inédites*, edited with notes by M. Martin, Cairo, 41.
- [61] Mohamed, I. H. (1984). *Moqadema fil-Emara el-Quibtia el-defa'eya*, (Introduction to Coptic defense architecture), Cairo, pp.128-129-165.
- [62] Habashy and Tawadhrous, Z. (1947). *Rehla fi sahraa el-arabwal-adyera el-sharqia*, A trip into the Arabs desert and eastern monasteries, Cairo, p.140.
- [63] Torp. H. (1964). *Murs D'ancienne des Monasteres Coptes Primifae tcoutentsfortersesses IXX*, VI, *Melanges de l'ecole française de Rome*, p.181.

- [64] De Villard, M.(1928). *Les Eglises du Monastre des Syriens au Wadi el Natrun*, Milan, pp.10-12-14.
- [65] Crum, W. & White, E. (1962). *The Monastery of Epiphanius at Thebe*, New York, pp.10-30.
- [66] Leroy, J. (1982). *Les Peintures des Convents du Ouadi Natroun*, Cairo, pp.30-35-48.
- [67] Moss, H. (1998). *Melad el-Osour el-wosta*, (The birth of the Middle Ages), tr. of Abdul Aziz Jawead, Cairo, pp.73-74.
- [68] Worrell, A. (1945). *Short Account of the Copts*, Michigan, pp.8-9.
- [69] Shokry, M. (1948). *Aabae el-Barreya*, (Desert parents), a letter from St. Minas Coptic Monasticism, p.14.
- [70] Nasseam, J. (1986). *Ematat el-Kanayeswal-Adera fi Misr*, (Architecture of churches and monasteries in Egypt), Cairo, p.416.
- [71] Ramzy, N. Sh. (May 2004). *Al-Emara el-Qibteya*, (Coptic architecture), Rakouti 1-2, p.9-10 figs.72-73.
- [72] De Villard, M. (1927). *II monastéro dis, someone presso Aswan*, pp.74-75-92-93-107-100-154, figs.24-26-29-39-77-78-87-106
- [73] Muller-Viener (1963). *Christilichemonumenteimgebiet von Hibis*, in *Mitteilugen des DeutschenArchaologischen Institute AbteilungKairo*, pl.19, pp.136-137, note 2.
- [74] Daumas, F. (1967). *Les travaux de l'inst.Fr. D'arch*, p.442.
- [75] Mallinson, M. (1998). *Monastery of St. Paul*, Survey Interim Report for ADP/ARCE, p.6.
- [76] Budge, W. (tr. 1928). *The Wit and Wisdom of the Christian Fathers of Egypt*, the Syrian version of the ApophthegmataPatrum, Oxford, pp.18-19-20-23-26-375-195.
- [77] Ward, B. (Trans. 1975). *The saying of the desert fathers*, London – Kalamazoo, pp.3-23-139.
- [78] Quibell, J. (1907-1908). *Excavations at Saqqara*, p.15,pl.XV.
- [79] Maspero, J. (1932). *Fuilles executes a baouit*, MIFAO LIX, p.XII, fig.34.
- [80] Darwish, M. A. (1988). *A'mayer Rasheadwa ma feha min al-tohaf el-khashabiafil-asr el-osmany*, (The buildings of Rashid and it's wooden artifacts in the Ottoman age), Master, Cairo University, pp.58-63-220-221.
- [81] Daumas, F. & others (1969). *Killia (Kom 219)*, pp.37-41-84-93-97-98, pls.21B,D-22-23B.
- [82] Quibell, J. (1908-1910). *Excavations at Saqqara*, p.12.
- [83] Danielou, J and Marrou, H. (1964). *The Christian Centuries*, 1, London, p.272.

- [84] Al-Shaboshty (1966). *Kitab el-Deyarat*, (Al-dearat book), achieve KourkisAwad, Baghdad, p.49.
- [84] Jullien, M., (1901). *A traverse les ruins de la haute Égypte à la recherché de la grotte de l'abbé jean' in pères de la compagnie de jesus*, etudes 88, pp. 53-205.
- [85] *Comptes Rendus de L'Académie des Inscriptios et Belles Lettres*, (1966), pp.300-302.
- [86] *Comptes Rendus de L'Académie des Inscriptios et Belles Lettres*, (1967), pp.439-444fig.4.
- [87] Coppin, J. (1971). *Les Voyages en Egypte (1683-1646)*, edited with notes by S. Sauneron, Cairo,236-237.
- [88] Immerzeel. M. (1997).*Coptic Art, in Nelly van Boorn-Harder, Between Desert and City: The Coptic Orthodox Church Today*, Oslo, p.285.
- [89] Clarke, S. (1912). *Christian Antiquities in Nile Valley*, Oxford, pp.118-219.
- [90] Osman, M. A. (2003). *Derasatfil-Imara el-Abaseyawal-Fatemya*, (Archaeological studies in the Abbasid and Fatimid Architecture), Faculty of Arts, Sohag,pp.318-319-320-438.
- [91] Shafi, F. (1994). *Al-Emara el-Arabia*, (Arabicarchitecture), Cairo, pp.125:129-139-169-200-261-555-559, figs.84-87-89-90-91-109-110-112-231-232-233-241-258-263:270-364-365-367-372-374-377-385-392.
- [92] Creswell, K. (1989). *A Short Account of Early Muslim Architecture*,Revised and supplemented by James W. Allan, The American Univ. Press, p.146.figs.85-147-236-240-261-263.
- [93] Van Berchem M. (1894).*Materiaux pour un corpus inscriptionumarabicarum*,T.1, Paris, p.40.
- [94] Marcais, G.(1903). *Les Monuments Arab du Tlemcen*, Paris,p.536.
- [95] Commission for the Conservation of the Arab monuments (1904). *Karasat*, (Brochures, pp.89-99.
- [96] Saladin, H. (1907). *Manual d'art Musulman*, l'Architecture, Paris, p.109.
- [97]Herz, M. (1909). *Fehrismoqtanayatdar el-Athar el-Arabia*, (Items Index of the Arab house of monuments), tr.AliBahjat, Cairo,pp.33-34.
- [98]Fekry, A. (1965). *Masajed el-Qaherawamadareseha*, (Cairo mosques and its schools), 1, the Fatimid era, Cairo, pp.25-26-32-89-94-121-126-127-154 fig.28.
- [99] Darwish, M. A. (2016). Architecture of Coptic churches in Fatimid age, Encyclopedia of Archaeological Studies and Researches, Part 6, pp.82ss.

- [100] Hovsepian, G. (1944). *The monastery Church of Budghoons (Budghavank) and the Doming of Ancient Armenian Churches*, Materials for the Study of Armenian Art and Culture, Fascicle III, New York, pp.7-45.
- [101] Jakobson, A. L. (1950). *Essay on the History of Armenian Architecture*, Moscow and Leningrad, pp.45-49.
- [102] Krautheimer, R. (1965). *Early Christian and Byzantine Architecture*, Harmondsworth. VI-VII, fig.30.
- [103] Krautheimer, R. (1972). *Medieval Armenian Architecture*, VI-VII. pp.3-17-30-36-43-46-47-50-52-58, figs.37-56, XVI.
- [104] *Architectura Medievale Armena*, (1968). *Roma-Palazzo Venezia*, figs.85-86.
- [105] *Architectura Medievale Armena*, (1970). *Roma-Palazzo Venezia*, pp.102-104. fig.102.
- [106] Khatchatrian, (1971). *L'Architecture Armenienne du IV au VI Siecle*, Paris, pp.43-49-51-53-56-58-62-64-69-71-71-89-96.
- [107] Der Narsessian, S. (1978). *Armenian Art*, Paris, pp.36-51-52-63-66-68.
- [108] *Medieval Armenian Architecture*, (1978). Milan, pp.87-88.
- [109] Van Berchem M. (1889). *Une Mosquee du temps des Fatimides*, Memoires de L'Institut d'Egypte, Tome.II, pp.606-619.
- [110] Cresswell, K. (1952). *Muslim Architecture of Egypt*, 1, Fatimids, Oxford, 1, p.155, fig.79, pl.46.
- [111] Nowayser, M. H. (1996). *Al-Athar el-Islamia*, (Islamic monuments), Cairo, pp.192-198.
- [112] Al-Mqrizi (1998). *Al-Mawa'zwal-e'tebar fi zikr el-Khetatwal-Athar*, (Preaching and consideration of plans and the state), Cairo, 2, pp. 34-293-495.
- [113] Patricolo & Villard (1929). *The church of Sit Barbara*, Florence, pp.25-26.
- [114] Al-Sakhawi (1935:1953). *Al-Dhawe el-lame'*, (Light shining objects in the ninth century), Cairo, 7, pp.118-474.
- [115] Lam'i S. (1984). *Al-torath el-Islamy fi Misr*, (Islamic architectural heritage in Egypt), Beirut, pp.80-82.
- [116] Doley, W. J. (2000). *Al-Emara el-Arabia bi-Misr*, (Arab architecture in Egypt), tr. Mahmoud Ahmed, Cairo, pp.17-56.
- [117] Clarke, S. (2002). *Al-Athar el-Quibtia fi wadi el-Neal*, (Coptic monuments in the Nile Valley), tr. Ibrahim Salama Ibrahim, Cairo, pp.121-170..
- [118] Osman, M. A. (1988). *Al-madeana el-Islamia*, (Muslim city), world of knowledge, Kuwait, 128, pp.12- 234-263.
- [119] Cresswell, K. (1959). *Early Muslim Architecture*, Oxford, I, fig.70 v.

- [120] Shiha.M. (2000).*The Islamic Architecture in Egypt*, Prism Archaeological Series 5, Ministry of Culture – Egypt, p.60.
- [121] Friedmann, A. (September 1980).*Development of Field of Man / Environment Relations*, The International Federation of Interior Designers Forum, (IFI), Copenhagen, p.4.
- [122] Envoldesn, C. (September 1980).*The form and the formulation of Theories*, The International Federation of Interior Designers Forum, (IFI), Copenhagen, p.1.
- [123] Lewis M. (1953).*The Naеural History of Urbanzation*, Univ. of Chicago press, p.383.
- [124] Salman, Isa (1982).*Takhteat el-modon*, (Urban planning of towns), the civilization of Iraq, 9, Baghdad, pp.7-8.
- [125] Michell, G. (1978).*Architecture of The Islamic World*, New York, p.135.
- [126] Taiel, J. (1990).*Handasat el-benaabel-tean*, (Mud architecture), Journal of Islamic Capitals and Cities, 14, pp.48-65.
- [127] Al-Rifa'i, Kh. (1991).*NahwEmaraasealawamo'asera*, (Towards a genuine and contemporary architecture derived solely from the Islamic values and modern technology), Organization of Islamic Capitals and Cities, 4th seminar, Jeddah, 171-185.
- [128] Al-Khouli, M. B. (1977).*Al-moatherat el-monakhiawal-emara el-arabia*, (Effects of climatic and Arabic architecture), Cairo, p.46-50.
- [129] Abdul-Baqi, I. (1982).*Ta'seal el-qeyam el-hadharia fi bena' el-madina el-Islamia el-mo'asera*, (The Arab cultural values in the construction of the contemporary city), the Islamic Center of studies and architectural planning, Cairo, p.73.
- [130] Al-Hussayn, M. (1995). *Significant characteristics and design considerations of the planning research*, Chicago, pp.13-91.
- [131] El-Bakry, M. (1973).*The Islamic houses, A study of environmental characterstics of Cairo's Islamic house*, report for M. Sc. In Architecture School of Environmental studies, University college, London.
- [132] Kheireddine, A. (1997).*Al-Mo'alajat el-bea'eya fi takhteat el-modon el-islamiawatasmeam el-modon*, (Environmental treatments in Islamic urban planning and design of buildings), the Interbuild conference – Cairo, pp.855-877.
- [133] Sadiq, M. A. (1999).*Al-Tashkeal el-faraghy*, (Spatial configuration and its impact on the physical environment linked to the mosque), Seminar of mosques architecture, Faculty of Architecture and Planning - King Sa'ud University – Riyadh, pp.37-56.