

## **EFFECT OF CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE ON MAIZE PRODUCTION IN SAMIA SUB COUNTY OF BUSIA COUNTY KENYA**

**MBETERA ARTHUR CHAKOYO,\***

**Mr. William Agembo\*\***

---

### **Abstract.**

This study sought to establish the effect of conservation agriculture practices on maize production in Samia Sub County. The study sought to establish the effects of conservation agriculture on maize production guided by three specific objectives namely: effect of minimum tillage, mulching and use of cover crops on maize production within Samia Sub County. The study used descriptive design since it encouraged the use of multiple worldviews by combining inductive and deductive thinking. This design also facilitated the description of the effects of conservation agriculture practices employed in maize production. Purposive sampling was used to collect data from 252 respondents who were chosen using purposive sampling from two groups namely; small holder farmers and community facilitators and agricultural extension officers. Data collection instruments included a questionnaire for farmers and a key informant(s) interview guide Data collected was cleaned, coded and analyzed with the aid of the Statistical Package for Social Sciences and the results presented in frequency distribution tables and narratives organized and discussed under the specific objectives of the study. The findings of the study revealed that there was an increase in maize production when minimum tillage and use of cover crops was employed whereas mulching had little or no effect on the increase of maize production in Samia Sub County. The major challenge faced by the small holder farmers'

---

\* **Master of Arts Student at Catholic University of Eastern Africa**

\*\* **Catholic University of Eastern Africa.**

respondents was pest and weed control in their farms with lack of fences affecting the few that practiced mulching. The study recommended the following to ensure increased production: formation of small farmer groups to enable them access information easily from them the agricultural extension officer and the community facilitators, adoption of the push pull technology to combat weed and pest infestation of their maize fields and finally fencing off of pieces of land under maize crop to avoid destruction from foraging animals.

**\*Key words: conservation agriculture, minimum tillage, mulching, use of cover crops.**

### **Introduction.**

Demand for maize as food has continued to rise with global production reaching over 840 million metric tons in 2010, (Lobell, Hammer, McLean, Messina, Roberts and Schlenker 2013). Despite continued growth in overall production, concerns have been raised about the ability to maintain rates of production increase in the face of climate change, (Lobell et al 2013). Maize is considered an economically and politically important cereal crop both in Asia and Africa and despite the importance of maize in Sub Saharan Africa, production remains low, (Logrono and Lothrop 1996).

In Kenya the production of maize stands at 28million bags against a requirement of 34 million bags annually, (KNBS 2009). Most small holder farmers use rudimentary farming practices leading to low maize production. In Samia sub county, maize production has been on sharp decline and this is attributed to poor conventional farming practices that lead low productivity. For Samia Sub County to increase it maize production, there is need to adopt conservation agriculture practices.

### **Statement of the Problem**

Over 90% of farming in Kenya is based on conventional practices such as soil inversion, where crop residue is burned or fed to livestock, and a low level of fertilizer applied, (Kaumbutho and Kienzle, 2007). According to the Department of Agriculture Busia County (2017), maize production in Samia sub-county has been on the decline with 163,000, 41, 580, 38,900 bags

being harvested in 2014, 2015 and 2016 respectively. This has been attributed to the persistent use of conventional agricultural practices against an ever changing climatic condition. The idea of agricultural sustainability centers on the need to develop technologies and practices that do not have adverse effects on environmental goods and services, and that lead to improvements in food productivity.

### **Research Objectives**

The main objective of this research was to establish the effect of Conservation Agriculture on maize production in Samia sub-county, Busia Kenya.

### **Specific Objectives**

The following specific research objectives were:

1. To assess the effect of minimum tillage on maize production in Samia.
2. To establish the effect of cover crops on maize production in Samia.
3. To explore the effect of mulching on maize production in Samia.

### **Minimum tillage**

Through minimum tillage, soil moisture is conserved and in turn it helps in the germination of maize. According to Tow, Cooper, Partridge, and Birch (2011), conservation agriculture advocates for adoption of reduced or zero tillage. Most of the agricultural benefits of zero tillage on maize production relate to increased organic matter in the soil. This results from the combination of eliminating soil disturbance in conventional tillage. There is an increase in biomass from improved crop productions through greater diversity of types of organic matter from cover crops, reduced erosion and differences in the assimilation and decomposition of soil organic matter from reduced surface soil temperatures and increased biodiversity

Conservation tillage practices such as minimum tillage have been observed to result in better soil structure and have higher soil organic carbon compared to conventional tillage practice, especially at the top soil depths (Kihara, Bationo, Mugendi, Martius, and Vlek 2011). Combined with crop residue applied as mulch, reduced tillage also conserves available rainwater important for crop growth. Such rainwater is currently lost in the magnitude of 70–85% from cropping

systems in sub-Saharan Africa through soil evaporation, deep percolation and surface runoff. The additional mulch produced by the crops could play a key role in reducing runoff and direct evaporation from the soil and often reduces the emergence of weeds.

According to Basch, Friedrich, Kassam, and Gonzalez-Sanchez (2015), conservation agriculture is an approach to managing agro-ecosystems for improved and sustained productivity. This leads to increased maize productions while preserving and enhancing the resource base and the environment. Conservation agriculture is characterized by three linked principles, namely: Continuous minimum mechanical soil disturbance, permanent organic soil cover, diversification of crop species grown in sequences and or associations.

### **Cover crops**

A cover crop is any living ground cover that is planted into or after a main crop and then commonly slashed before the next crop is planted (Hartwig and Ammon, 2002). Living mulches are cover crops planted either before or with a main crop and maintained as a living ground cover throughout the growing season.

Cover crops help promote biological soil tillage through their rooting; the surface mulch provides food, nutrients and energy for earthworms, arthropods and micro-organisms below ground that also biologically till soils. Hobbs et al (2008), proposes the use of deep-rooted cover crops to help to relieve compaction under zero-tillage system.

Gupta and Sayre (2007), state that conservation agriculture maintains a permanent or semi-permanent organic soil cover. This can be a growing crop or dead mulch. Its function is to protect the soil physically from sun, rain and wind and to feed soil biota.

### **Mulching**

Mulch tillage as a practice is based on the principle of causing least soil disturbance and leaving the maximum of crop residue on the soil surface and at the same time obtaining a quick germination, and adequate stand and a satisfactory yield (Lal 1974).

According to Tolk, Howell and Evett (1999), tillage practices that maintain crop residues on soil surface help reduce evaporation of soil water which can benefit high water use crops such as maize. The crop residues on soil act as a screen from the high temperatures. Tolk et al (1999), further postulate that the maize production increase in the Southern plains of USA was generally credited to increased water content in the soil due to reduced evaporation. The need by farmers in Samia Sub County to embrace use of mulch is great since the area tends to experience high temperatures that is not favorable for optimum maize production.

Lal (1974) investigated the effect of mulching on maize yield in luvisol and cambisol tropical soils during 1970–72. The increase in grain yield by mulching was 46, 52 and 22 per cent respectively, for 1970, 1971 and 1972. From the investigation, mulched plants had higher growth rate and vigor and chlorotic symptoms of nutritional disorders were observed only for unmulched plants. Mulching significantly decreases the maximum soil temperature. In the initial stages of crop growth, temperature differences of as much as 8°C were observed between mulched and unmulched plots at a 5-cm depth. Mulched plots also have a higher soil moisture content. Increase in grain yield by mulching is attributed primarily to a decrease in soil temperature and partly to improved soil moisture regime.

## **RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY**

### **Research Design**

This study adopted the descriptive research design with both quantitative and qualitative approaches. Descriptive research is an attempt to collect data from members of a population in order to determine the current status of that population (Mugenda and Mugenda, 1999). This design was appropriated to the study since it facilitates the description of the characteristics and the relationship between maize production and conservation agriculture practices employed. Descriptive research describes “what is” and is concerned with conditions or relationships that exist, opinions that are held, processes that are going on, effects that are evident or trends that are developing (Best and Khan, 1993).

### **Sample and Sampling Techniques**

According to Kothari (2004) a sample design is a definite plan for obtaining a sample from a given population. It refers to the technique or the procedure the researcher would adopt in selecting items for the sample. Kothari further argues that a sample design may as well lay down the number of items to be included in the sample like the size of the sample.

Kothari (2004) states that a sample refers to the number of items to be selected from the universe to constitute a sample. Krejcie and Morgan (1970) have provided a table of determining sample size for different populations (Appendix IV). The table is based on a formula which gives a sample size that when drawn randomly from a finite population size, is such that the sample was within  $\pm 0.5$  margin of error of the population proportion with a 95% level of confidence. Therefore, the sample size for the study was 276 respondents.

Sampling refers to the selection of some part of an aggregate or totality on the basis of which a judgment or inference about aggregate or totality is made. Having adequate numbers of subjects is one consideration, but the method of obtaining the sample is even more critical (Draugalis and Plaza, 2009).

Purposive sampling technique was adopted to ensure that only farmers practicing conservation agriculture were selected. The inherent bias of this method contributed to its efficiency, and the method stays robust even when tested against random probability sampling. According to Tongco (2007), choosing purposive sample is fundamental to the quality of data gathered; thus, reliability and competence of the informant must be ensured. This also strengthens Kothari's view of some designs being relatively more precise and easier to apply than others hence researchers should select a sample design which is reliable and appropriate for their research study.

### **The effect of conservation agriculture on maize production in Samia Sub County, Busia County -Kenya.**

To establish the effect of minimum tillage on maize production in Samia various statements were drawn. The statements were likert scaled on level 1 to 5, whereby 1= no extent, 2= little extent, 3= undecided, 4= great extent and 5= very great extent. The results are as presented in table 4.1

Table 4.1:

<b>Extent to which minimum tillage has affected maize production in your farm</b>			
	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
No extent	5	2.0	2.0
Little extent	135	54.4	63.7
Undecided	18	7.3	9.3
Great extent	60	24.2	87.9
very great extent	30	12.1	100.0
Total	248	100.0	

From table 4.1, 54.4% of the respondents stated that minimum tillage had a little effect on maize production in their farms whereas 24.2% and 12.1% said that they had experienced some great extent and very great extent respectively. The small holder farmer respondents stated that minimum tillage had brought some positive change in maize production in their fields. Minimum tillage advocates for less soil disturbance hence the soil fertility remains intact and the moisture content in the soil assists the maize in the germination process. Samia sub county experiences high temperatures by virtue of it being in close proximity to Lake Victoria, the high temperatures are not very conducive to maize germination and hence minimum tillage helps in conserving soil moisture which is vital in the germination process of maize. Rathore, Pal and Sahu (1998), confirm that minimum tillage enhances soil moisture conservation and moisture availability during crop growth. As a consequence, crops planted get enough water to assist in the germination process.

Table 4.2:

<b>Extent to which minimum tillage has increased maize production in your Farm</b>			
	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
No extent	5	2.0	2.0
Little extent	135	54.4	63.7
Undecided	18	7.3	9.3
Great extent	60	24.2	87.9
very great extent	30	12.1	100.0
Total	248	100.0	

Table 4.2 shows that 54.4% experienced a little increase in their maize production when they embraced minimum tillage. 24.2% and 12.1% of the respondents experienced a great extent and very great extent respectively. Most farmers recorded between 3-4 (80Kgs bag) bags of maize per acre under minimum tillage unlike under conventional agriculture where they would get 1 bag or less per acre. This shows that by the farmers switching to minimum tillage as a conservation agriculture practice they were able to increase their harvests compared to when they were practising conventional agriculture. According to the farmers conservation agriculture had helped reduce the wilting of maize at germination stage due to less moisture content in the soil. The results from the above table affirm Derpsch et al (2010) and findings that minimum tillage unlike the unsustainable intensive tillage practices is superior in terms of higher maize production. Lal (1976) also affirms this when he asserts that no tillage farms in Nigeria produced higher crop yields.

Table 4.3:

**Extent to which use of cover crops has affected maize production in your farm**

	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
No extent	6	2.4	2.4
Little extent	58	23.4	29.8
Undecided	10	4.0	6.5
Great extent	166	66.9	96.8
Very great extent	8	3.2	100.0
Total	248	100.0	

Table 4.3 shows that 66.9% of the respondents use cover crops in their farms having a great effect on their maize production. A further 23.4% of the respondents said that they experienced some little effect on their maize production. 4% of the respondents were undecided on whether cover crops had any effect on maize production since they practiced it just as a means of adding to their cereal output and not as a conservation agriculture strategy. A small percentage (2.4%) of the respondents stated that use of cover crops had no impact at all on maize production. Most of



the farmers falling under the undecided category and those in the little extent category stated that the use of cover crops brings about weed and pest infestation. This assumption has been disputed by scholars like Abdin et al (2000) who categorically state that weeds are best controlled by use of cover crops in maize fields. Fisk et al(2001), from their research in Michigan also found that use of cover crops helps suppress weeds and thus production.

From the table 4.3, it shows that use of cover crops in maize production as a conservation agriculture practice adds great value to the production process as compared to those who do not subscribe to the use of cover crops. This affirms Hartwig and Ammon (2002) research on the use of cover crops and its benefits to other plants through increase in nitrogen in the soils, thus in turn increasing production.

Table 4.4:

**Extent to which use of cover crops has increased maize production in your farm**

	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
No extent	6	2.4	2.4
Little extent	58	23.4	29.8
Undecided	10	4.0	6.5
Great extent	166	66.9	96.8
Very great extent	8	3.2	100.0
Total	248	100.0	

From table 4.4, 2.4% of the respondents said that use of cover crops had no impact at all on the increase maize production in their farms whereas 3.2% said that it had a very great impact. A further 23.4% of the respondents stated that maize production had increased to some little extent due to adoption of cover crops whereas 66.9% of the respondents stated that cover crops had increased maize production to a great extent. Most farmers recorded between 5-6 (80Kgs bag) bags of maize per acre through use of cover crops unlike under conventional agriculture where they would get 2 bag or less per acre. The table depicts that maize produced under use of cover crops is higher compared to maize produced under conventional agricultural methods. 4% of the

respondents were undecided as to whether use of cover crops increase maize production or not. This could be due to them combining several conservation agriculture practices hence they cannot tell which strategy is more effective.

Table 4.5:

**Extent to which use of mulch has affected maize production in your farm**

	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
No extent	77	31.0	31.0
Little extent	12	4.8	81.0
Undecided	112	45.2	76.2
great extent	36	14.5	95.6
very great extent	11	4.4	100.0
Total	248	100.0	

Table 4.5, 31% of the respondents stated that mulching had no effect at all on maize production whereas 45.2% of the respondents said there was no extent. According to Bu et al (2013), maize is usually sensitive to high temperatures at the seedling stage. For production to be increased, mulching is used to help in lowering the surface temperatures of the soil. From the above table it shows that the most respondents either do not understand the importance of mulching, or for fear of their maize being ravaged by foraging animals they prefer not to embrace mulching.

Table 4.6:

**Extent to which use of mulch has increased maize production in your farm**

	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
No extent	77	31.0	31.0
Little extent	12	4.8	81.0
Undecided	112	45.2	76.2
great extent	36	14.5	95.6
very great extent	11	4.4	100.0
Total	248	100.0	

Table 4.16 shows that 31% of the respondents said that mulching had no effect at all on increase of maize production whereas 45.2 % of the respondents said it had no effect. Of the total respondents only 14.5% and 4.4 % said that mulching had increased maize production in their farms. The high percentage of respondents who stated the mulching had no effect on maize production confirmed that they use plant remains as fodder for their domestic animals as opposed to mulch. Farmers recorded between 1-2 (80Kgs bag) bags of maize per acre under mulching due to constant intrusion into their farms by foraging animals. But the 4.4% who had protected their farms stated that through mulching, they were able to increase their maize production to over 7 bags per acreage.

The challenges facing small holder farmers practising minimum tillage are weed control which usually attack the maize crop since minimum tillage advocates for little or no soil disturbance. The findings of this study support Grichar and Boswell (1987) study that stated that minimum tillage though being cost effective, poses a challenge in weed control hence reduced production if not well managed.

Another study by Wall (2007), stated that minimum tillage involves a change of mind set by the farmer. The above table shows that 11.3% of the respondents said that they had a formed opinion on minimum tillage as opposed to conventional agriculture.

Challenges associated with use of cover crops as a conservation agriculture strategy was pest and animal control. Out of 248 farmer respondents, 177 (71.4%) stated that cover crops such as beans easily attract animals in to the maize fields hence the maize gets trampled on and fails to germinate. Some of the animals also forage on the germinating maize hence interfering with the yields in the long run.

Lack of cover crop variety was also sighted as a challenge on use of cover crops by 28.6% of the farmer respondents. Most of the farmers easily access beans as a cover crop though it attracts pests and animals into their maize fields. The other variety which is the lablab bean though being the best is not readily available in the markets and is rather expensive for the farmers.

That foraging animals were a biggest challenge to mulching. This has dissuaded the respondents into shying away from practising mulching. This shows that most farms are not fenced and hence easily attract animal.

Pest infestation on maize fields covered with mulch was also sighted as a problem with 18.1% of the farmer respondents stating that the mulch attracts many pests into their farms hence they suffer losses on their maize crop. This shows that most of the 18.1% farmer respondents practising mulching do not spray their farms with pesticides and hence the maize get vulnerable to pest hence reduced maize yields.

### **Conclusion**

From the study, majority of the respondents from all categories agreed that both minimum tillage and use of cover crops are best suited to increase maize production as opposed to conventional agricultural methods. This implies that with the continuous embracing and spreading of minimum tillage and cover crops as conservation agriculture strategies, Samia Sub County can be food sufficient. The implication here is that the farmers can be able to produce maize in surplus while at the same time conserving their environment. Some of the farmers who practice minimum tillage combine it with use of cover crops so as to reap the maximum benefits of conservation agriculture.

Use of mulch as a conservation agriculture strategy has not been fully embraced by the farmers since most of their fields are not fenced and hence other locals easily graze their cattle in the open fields after post-harvest. The cattle feed on the plant remnants that would have been used as mulch during the new planting season thus leaving the fields with no mulch.

According to Kassam et al 2009, conservation agriculture requires a deeper understanding of its ecological underpinnings in order to manage its various elements for sustainable intensification, where the aim is to optimize resource use and protect or enhance ecosystem processes in space and time over the long term. It is knowledge-intensive and brings a fundamental change in production system thinking.

For minimum tillage to effectively be adopted by many small holder farmers, they need to form vibrant groups that will enable them easily access information and training from both the agricultural officer and the community facilitators. Through this they can assist each other to carry out weed control; attitude changes on minimum tillage and its benefits. The County government and Kalro should endeavor to have a minimum tillage adopted by all farmers so as to ensure increase in maize production both in Samia-Busia County and in Kenya.

The introduction of a special kind of grass by ICIPE which attracts the pests and is also safe for animal consumption will go a long way in tackling some of the challenges that come with adoption of use of cover crops. The ‘mlato’ grass is planted around the edges of the field so as to ‘pull’ the pests away from the maize and cover crop in the field. This push pull technology as advocated by Khan et al (2011) is the best and simplest way of protecting planted maize in the fields. The technology is highly appropriate for smallholder farmers who do not purchase seasonal inputs. This has been known to increase maize yields for these farmers. According to Amudavi et al (2008), planting of Napier grass on the edges of the farm acts as a deterrent from striga weed. Napier grass (pull), is planted as a border crop around this intercrop. Gravid stem borer females are repelled from the main crop and are simultaneously attracted to the trap crop. According to FAO (2017), it is important to choose the precise moment at which the vegetative cover is controlled, because most of the species used can regenerate if their growth is interrupted prematurely. Alternatively, seeds of the cover crop can germinate if the plants are allowed to mature, as may happen with oats, rye, chickpea, and vetches and forage radish. There are, however, species and rotations where cover crops are purposely brought to maturity to establish a seed bank which will allow the cover crop to grow automatically once the cash crop is harvested. Through its agricultural agencies, both the national and county governments should ensure that maize farmers adopt the push pull technology so as to reduce pest infestation on maize crops and thus increase production.

The small holder farmers had stated that use of mulch exposes them to foraging animals and hence their crops are affected. For this problem to be tackled effectively, there is need to fence their pieces of land with barbed wire fence or with live fences. The animals could also be tethered to prevent them from straying into the farms and thus enabling the farmers to effectively

practice mulching. The option would be for farmers to set aside part of their land specifically for grazing their cattle hence reducing the chances of the animals venturing into their crops.

## References

- Abdin , O. A., Zhou, X. M., Cloutier, D., Coulman, D. C., Faris, M. A., & Smith, D. L. (2000). Cover crops and interrow tillage for weed control in short season maize (*Zea mays*). *European Journal of Agronomy*, 12(2), 93-102.
- Ameyaw, K. (2017). *Integrating Community and Civil Society Inputs into Forest Management Planning in Ghana*. Ghana.
- Amudavi, D. M., Hassanali, A., Pickett, J. A., Khan, Z. R., & Midega, C. A. (2008). ‘On-farm evaluation of the “push-pull” technology for the control of stemborers and striga weed on maize in western Kenya. *Field Crops Research* 106,, 224-233.
- Azadi, H., Hafni, H. P., Zarafshani, K., & Witlox, F. (2011). Multi-stakeholder involvement and urban green spaces performance. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 54 (6): 785-811.
- Bakes, F. (2002). *Cross-Scale International Linkages: Perspectives from the Bottom up*. Washington DC: National Academic Press.
- Basch, G., Friedrich, T., Kassam, A., & Gonzalez-Sanchez, E. (2015). Conservation Agriculture in Europe. *Conservation Agriculture*, 357-389.
- Benites, J. R., & Derpsch, R. (2003). Situation of conservation agriculture in the world. *In Proceedings of the II World Congress on Conservation Agriculture*, (pp. 11-15). Iguazu Falls, Brazil: FAO.
- Best, J., & Khan. (1993). *Research in Education*. Boston: Edward Arnold.
- Bhatt, R., Khera, K. L., & Arora, S. (2004). Effect of tillage and mulching on yield of corn in the submontaneous rainfed region of Punjab, India. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*,, 126-128.
- Bombelli, A., & Valentini, R. (2011). *Africa and the Carbon cycle*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organisation.
- Booher, E. D., & Innes, E. J. (2004). Network power in collaborative planning. *Journal of Planning Education and Reseach*. doi:21: 221-236

- Boserup, E. (2005). *The conditions of agricultural growth: The economics of agrarian change under population pressure*. Transaction Publishers.
- British Association of Landscape. (2016). *Local authority and private sector collaborate over green public space challenges*. Retrieved from [http://www.bali.org.uk/industry/news/news/\\_article.local-authority-private-sector-collaborate-over-greenspace-challenges.html](http://www.bali.org.uk/industry/news/news/_article.local-authority-private-sector-collaborate-over-greenspace-challenges.html).
- Browne, K. (2011). *An Introduction to sociology. 4th edition*. Malden: Polity press.
- Bryman, A. (1997). *Qualitative data analysis with SPSS for windows. A guide to social scientists*. London: Sage publications.
- Bu, L. D., Liu, J. L., Zhu, L., Luo, S. S., Chen, X. P., & Li, S. Q. (2013). The effects of mulching on maize growth, yield and water use in a semi-arid region. *Agricultural Water Management, 123*, 71-78.
- Buizer, M., & Van, A. H. (2012). Combining deliberative governance theory and discourse analysis to understand the deliberative incompleteness of centrally formulated plan. *Forest Policy and Economics*. doi:16, 93-101
- Buizer, M., & Van, A. H. (2012). *Combining deliberative governance theory and discourse analysis to understand the deliberative incompleteness of centrally formulated plans*. doi:16, 96-101
- CABI. (2007). *Spaceshaper: A Users's Guide*. London: CABI.
- Cairns, J. E., Hellin, J., Sonder, K., Aruas, J. L., MacRoberts, J. F., Thierfelder, C., & Prasanna, B. M. (2013). Adapting maize production to climate change in sub-Saharan Africa. *Food Security, 5*(3), 345-360.
- Carlsson, L., & Bakes, F. (2003, July 11-14). Co-Management across levels of organizations: concepts and methodological implications.
- Carmona, M., Magalhaes, D. C., Blum, R., & Hopkins, J. (2004). *Is the Grass Greener...? Learning From International Innovations in Urban Green Spaces Management*. London: CABI Space.
- Case, M. (November 2006). *Climate change impactson East Africa: Areviewof the scientific literature*. Gland: WWF.

- Charter of Public Space. (2013). Rome, Italy: Adopted in Rome, final session of the Biennial of Public Space. Retrieved from [www.biennalspaziopubblico.it/international/outputs/the-chater-of-public-space/](http://www.biennalspaziopubblico.it/international/outputs/the-chater-of-public-space/).
- Chitala, S. (2010, September 22). National Park of Mali follows four decades of AKDN in West Africa. *the.ismaili*. Retrieved from <https://the.ismaili/news/national-park-mali-follows-four-decades-adkn-investment-west-africa>
- Chivenge, P. P., Murwira, H. K., Giller, K. E., Mapfumo, P., & Six, J. (2007). Long-term impact of reduced tillage and residue management on soil carbon stabilization: Implications for conservation agriculture on contrasting soils. *Soil & Tillage Research*, 328–337.
- City of Perth. (2012). *Public Open Spaces Issues and Challenges*. South Perth: Curtin University.
- Coakes, J., & Steed, L. (2011). *SPSS: Analysis Without Anguish Using SPSS Version 14.0 Windows*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Conway, H. (2000). *the regeneration of public parks*. (K. Fieldhouse, & j. Woudstra, Eds.) London, United Kingdom: Taylor and Francis Ltd.
- Creswell, J. (2009). *Research Design: Quantitative, Qualitative and Mixed method*. London: Sage Publication.
- Creswell, J. (2013). *Research Designs: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches*. Sage Publications.
- Department of Agriculture, B. (January 2017). *Busia County Food and Crop Production Report*. Busia-Kenya: Unpublished.
- Derpsch, R. (2003). Conservation tillage, no-tillage and related technologies. In *Conservation agriculture*. Springer Netherlands, 181-190.
- Derpsch, R. (2008). No-Tillage and Conservation Agriculture: A Progress. *Special publication*, 7-39.
- Derpsch, R., & Friedrich, T. (2009, February). Global overview of conservation agriculture no-till adoption. In *4th World Congress on Conservation Agriculture* (pp. 4-7). New Delhi, India: FAO.
- Doody, O., & Noonan, M. (2013). Preparing and Conducting Interview to Collect Data. *Nurse Researcher*, 20(5), 28-32.



- Draugalis, J. R., & Plaza, C. M. (2009). Best practices for survey research reports revisited: implications of target population, probability sampling, and response rate. *American journal of pharmaceutical education*, 73(8), 142.
- Dumanski, J., Peiretti, R., Benites, J. R., McGarry, D., & Pieri, C. (2006). the paradigm of conservation tillage. *Proc. World Assoc. Soil Water Conserv.*, 58-64.
- Dunnett, N., Swanwick, C., & Wooley, H. (2012). *Improving Urban Park Areas, Play Areas and Green Spaces*. London: Department of Transport, Local Government and the Regions.
- Eswaran, H., Lal, R., & Reich, P. F. (2001). *Land degradation: an overview. Responses to Land degradation*. New Delhi: Oxford & IBH Publishing.
- Fabrizzi, K. P., Garcia, F. O., Costa, J. L., & Picone, L. I. (2005). Soil water dynamics, physical properties and corn and wheat responses to minimum and no-tillage systems in the southern Pampas of Argentina. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 81(1), 57-69.
- FAO. (2017, May 8). *Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations*. Retrieved from Agriculture and Consumer Protection Department; Conservation Agriculture: [www.fao.org/ag/ca](http://www.fao.org/ag/ca)
- FAO. (November 1996). Rome Declaration on World Food Security and World Food Summit Plan of Action. *World Food Summit*. Rome: FAO.
- Fisk, J. W., Hesterman, O. B., Shrestha, A., Kells, J. J., Harwood, R. R., Squire, J. M., & Sheaffer, C. C. (2001). Weed suppression by annual legume cover crops in no-tillage corn. *Agronomy Journal*, 93(2), 319-325.
- Fontaine, C., Haarman, A., & Shamid, S. (2006, December 2006). The Stakeholder Theory.
- Fosnacht, K., Sarraf, S., Home, E., & Peck, L. (2013). How Important are High Response Rates for College Surveys? 23.
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (1993). *How to design and evaluate research in education (Vol. 7)*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Freeman, E. R. (1984). *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Boston: MA; Pitman.
- Freeman, E. R., & Evan, M. W. (1990). 'Corporate Governance: A Stakeholder Interpretation'. *Journal of Behavior Economics*.

- Friedman, A. L. (2006). *'Stakeholder: Theory and Practice'*. oxford University Press.
- Gall, S. G. (2003). *Education Research. An introduction*. Boston: M, A Allen and Bacon.
- Garca-Torres, L., Benites, J., Martinez-Vilela, A., & Holgado-Cabrer. (2013). *Conservation Agriculture: Environment, Farmers experiences, Innovations,Socio-economy. policy*. New York: Springer.
- Giller, K. E., Witter, E., Corbeels, M., & Tittonell, P. (2009). Conservation agriculture and smallholder farming in Africa: the heretics' view. *Field crops research,114, no. 1*, 23-34.
- Government of Kenya. (2010). *Constitution of Kenya*. Nairobi: University of Nairobi.
- Government of Canada. (2006). *Edmonton's urban parks management plan 2006-2016*. Edmonton: Government of Canada. Retrieved from [www.edmonton.ca](http://www.edmonton.ca)
- Green Belt Movement. (2011). *Annual Report 2011*. Nairobi. Retrieved from [www.greenbeltmovement.org](http://www.greenbeltmovement.org)
- Greenhalgh, L., & Worpole, K. (1995). *Park Life - Urban Parks and Social Renewal*. Demos. Retrieved from [openlibrary.org](http://openlibrary.org).
- Grichar, W. J.,& Boswell, T. E. (1987). Comparison of no-tillage, minimum, and full tillage cultural practices on peanuts. *Peanut Science*, 101-103.
- Gupta, R., & Sayre, K. (2007). Conservation agriculture in South Asia. *Journal of Agricultural Science-Cambridge 145(3)*, 207.
- Hartwig, N. L., & Ammon, H. U. (2002). Cover Crops and Living Mulches. *Weed Science 50(6)*, 688-699.
- Hassan, A. (2015). *Assessmentof Communities's Participation in Conservation of Open Spaces in Dar Es Salaam City, Tanzania*. Dar EsSalaam: University of Morogoro.
- Healey, P. (2007). *Urban Complexity and Spatial Strategies: Towards a Recreational Planning for our time*. London: Routledge.
- Hobbs, P. R., Sayre, K., & Gupta, R. (2008). The role of conservation agriculture in sustainable agriculture. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B. Biological Sciences*, 543-555.
- Horsman, W. (2013). *Livelihood Resilience and Environmental Change in Samia, Western Kenya.MA thesis*. University of Amsterdam: Unpublished.

- Hosam, K. E., & Heidi, A. S. (2015). Eco and Green Cities as New Approches for Planning and Development Cities in Egypt. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*.
- Ikawa, J. V. (2015). *The impact of policies on the Development and Management of Recreational Spaces in Nairobi, Kenya*. Nairobi: Jomo Kenyatta University.
- Johansen, C., Haque, M. E., Bell, R. W., Thierfelder, C., & Esdaile, R. J. (2012). Conservation agriculture for small holder rainfed farming: Opportunities and constraints of new mechanised seeding systems. *Field crops research*, 132., 18-32.
- Jones, C. A., Basch, G., Baylis, A. D., Bazzoni, D., Biggs, J., Bradbury, R. B., & Jones, R. J. (June 2006). *Conservation Agriculture in Europe: An approach to sustainable crop production by protecting soil and water*. Berkshire: SOWAP, Jealott's Hill International Research Centre.
- Kassam, A., Friedrich, T., Shaxson, F., & Pretty, J. (2009). The spread of conservation agriculture: Justification, sustainability and uptake. *International journal of agricultural sustainability*, 7(4), 292-320.
- Kaumbutho, P., Kienzle, J., & eds. (2007). *Conservation agriculture as practised in Kenya: two case studies*. Nairobi: African Conservation Tillage Network.
- Kenya Institute for Public Policy Research and Analysis. (2017). *Kenya Economic Report 2007: Sustaining Kenya's Economic Development by Deepening and Expanding Economic Intergration in the Region*. Nairobi : Kenya Institute for Public Policy Research and Analysis.
- Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. (2009). *Statistical Abstract 2014*. Nairobi: Kenya National Bureau of Statistics.
- Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. (2013).
- Kenya National Museum. (1965). *Journal of the East Africa Natural History Society and National Museum*, 25 . Retrieved from [www.archive .org](http://www.archive.org)
- Khan, Z., Midega, C., Pittchar, J., Pickett, J., & Bruce, T. (2011). Push—pull technology: a conservation agriculture approach for integrated management of insect pests, weeds and soil health in Africa. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, 9:1, 162-170.
- Kihara, J., Bationo, A., Mugendi, D. N., Martius, C., & Vlek, P. L. (2011). Conservation tillage, local organic resources and nitrogen fertilizer combinations affect maize

productivity, soil structure and nutrient balances in semi-arid Kenya. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 213-225.

- Kithiia, J., & Lyth, A. (2011, April). Urban Wildscapes and Green Spaces in Mombasa and their Potential Contribution to Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation. *Environmental and Urbanization*, 23(1), 15.
- Kothari, C. R. (2004). *Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques*. (R. N. Delhi, Ed.) *New Age International (P) Ltd*.
- Kothari, C. R. (2004). *Research Methodology; methods and techniques*. New Delhi: New Age International .
- K'owino, I. O. (March 2010). *Conservation Agriculture in Kenya*. Kakamega: Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology.
- Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and psychological measurement*, (30)3, 607-610.
- Kristiando, Soemarno, & Udiashya, B. (2012). 'What Users said about Urban Green Space: A challenge for building the resilient city of Banjarbaru, Indonesia'. *International Journal of Development and Sustainability*, 1, 58-78. doi:12050201
- Kruse, J., Klein, D., Braund, S., Moorehead, L., & Simeone, B. (1998). Co-management and natural resources: a comparison of two caribou management systems.
- Lal, R. (1974). Soil temperature, soil moisture and maize yield from mulched and unmulched tropical soils. *Plant and soil*, 40(1), 129-143.
- Lal, R. (1976). No-tillage effects on soil properties under different crops in western Nigeria. *Soil science society of America journal*, 40(5), 762-768.
- Lazar, J., Feng, H., & Hochheiser, H. (2017). *Research Methods in Human-Computer Interactions*.
- Lele, S. (2000). *Godsend, Sleight of Hand, or just Muddling Through: Join Water and Forest Management in India*. Overseas Development Institut.
- Lobell, D. B., Hammer, G. L., McLean, G., Messina, C., Roberts, M. J., & Schlenker, W. (2013). The critical role of extreme heat for maize production in the United States. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(5), 497-501.
- Logrono, M. L., & Ithrop, J. E. (1996). Impact of drought and low nitrogen on maize production in Asia. *Drought-and Low N-Tolerant Maize*, 39.

- MacCallum, R. (2016). Power Analysis and Determination of Sample Size for Covariance Structure Modeling. doi:1(2), 130
- Magalhaes, C., & Carmona, M. (2009). Dimensions and Models of Contemporary Public Space Management Plan in England. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*. doi:52. 1(2009)-111-129
- Makworo, M. (2012). *the role of spatial planning in the environmental management of public in Nairobi, Kenya*. Nairobi: Jomo Kenyatta University.
- Makworo, M., & Mireri, C. (2011, October). Public open spaces in Nairobi City, Kenya, under threat. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 54. doi:10.1080/09640568.2010.549631
- Mathers, N. J., Howe, A., & Hunn, A. (1998). *Ethical Considerations in Research*. Trent.: NHS Executive.
- Mensah, C. A. (2015). *Sustaining Green Spaces in Africa: A case study of Kumasi Metropolis, Ghana*. University of Birmingham.
- Meteorological Department of Kenya. (2016). *Regional Rainfall patterns in Kenya*. Nairobi: Unpublished.
- Mike, L. (June 2006). *Conservation Agriculture in Europe: An approach to sustainable crop production by protecting soil and water*. Berkshire: SOWAP, Jealott's Hill International Research Centre.
- Miles, S. (Ed.). (2011). 'Stakeholders Definitions: Profusion and Confusion'. *EIASM, Interdisciplinary Conference on Stakeholders, Resources and Value creation*. Barcelona: IESI Business School, University of Navarra.
- Mills, J. A., Durepos, G., & Wiebe, E. (2010). *Encyclopedia of case study research*. 1 & 2.
- Mohd, Y. M. (2012). *true colours of urban green spaces: identifying and assessing the qualities of green spaces in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*. Malaysia: University of Edinburgh.
- Morelli, J. (2011). Environmental Sustainability: A Definition for Environmental Professionals. *Journal of Environmental Sustainability*, 1, 9.
- Morgan, D. L. (2007). Paradigms lost and pragmatism regained methodological implications of combining qualitative and quantitative methods. *Journal of mixed methods research*, 1(1), 48-76.

- Morse, J. M., Barrett, M., Mayan, M., Olson, K., & Spiers, J. (2002). Verification Strategies for Establishing Reliability and Validity in Qualitative Research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 13-22.
- Mugenda, O. M., & Mugenda, A. G. (1999). *Research Methods: Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches*. Nairobi: African Centre for Technology Studies.
- Mugenda, O. M., & Mungenda, A. G. (2003). *Research Methods, Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*. ACT, Nairobi.
- Muhammad, F., & Kadambot, S. (2015). *Conservation Agriculture*. London: Springer Cham.
- Mupangwa, W., Twomlow, S., Walker, S., & Hove, L. (2007). Effect of minimum tillage and mulching on maize (*Zea mays* L.) yield and water content of clayey and sandy soils. *Physics and chemistry of the earth, parts A/B/C*.32(15), 1127-1134.
- NEMA. (2012). *Green Initiative in Kenya*. Nairobi: National Environment Management Authority.
- Newman, J., Barnes, M., Sullivan, H., & Knops, A. (2004). Public Participation and Collaborative Governance. *Journal of Social Policy*.
- NIUPLAN. (2014). Nairobi Kenya.
- Ogundari, K., Ojo, S. O., & Ajibefun, I. A. (2006). Economies of scale and cost efficiency in small scale maize production: empirical evidence from Nigeria. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 13(2), 131-136.
- Olaleye, O. D., Ayoade, O. J., & Omisoro, O. E. (2013). A multivariate analysis factors influencing the provision of green space in residential neighbourhood in Sub-saharian Africa. *Journal of Environment and Earth Science*. doi:3(5): 138-146
- Oso, W., & Onen, D. (2009). *A General Guide to Writing Research Proposal and Report; a handbook of Beginning Researchers*. Nairobi: Revised Edition, Jomo Kenyatta Foundation.
- Owino, F. O., Hayombe, P. O., & Agong', S. G. (2017, october). The Effect of Diminishing Urban Green Spaces on the Environmental Quality in Kisumu City. *International Journal of Geography and Regional Planning Research*, 2, 10-23.
- Pieri, C., & et al. (2000). Land quality indicators: research plan. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 93-102.

- Pietsch, G., Hrbek, R., Laubhann, D., & Friedel, J. K. (2008). Effects of mulching dates modified for nature conservation on the yield and nitrogen fixation of green manure lucerne crops. *Agronomy journal*, 353-362.
- Pretty, J. N. (2006). Resource-Conserving Agriculture increases yields in Developing Countries. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 1114-1119.
- Rathore, A. L., Pal, A. R., & Sahu, K. K. (1998). Tillage and mulching effects on water use, root growth and yield of rainfed mustard and chickpea grown after lowland rice. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 78(2), 149-161.
- Rosal, M. (2010). Public participation in post-fordist urban green space governance: The case of community garden in Berlin. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*. doi:34-548-563
- Sandelowski, M. (2000). Focus on research methods-whatever happened to qualitative description?. *Research in nursing and health* 23, no. 4, 334-340.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2012). ' *Research Method for Business Students*'. 6th edition, Pearson Education Limited.
- Scopel, E. D. (2004). Modelling crop residue mulching effects on water use and production of maize under semi-arid and humid tropical conditions. *Agronomie*. 24 no 6-7, 383-395.
- Scottish Government. (2008). Planning and Open Spaces: Scottish Government.
- Sharma, A. R., Singh, R., Dhyani, S. K., & Dube, R. K. (2010). Moisture conservation and nitrogen recycling through legume mulching in rainfed maize (*Zea mays*)–wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. *Nutrient cycling in Agroecosystems*, 187-197.
- Spangler, T., Liu, J., & Hill, E. (2012). Consensual Qualitative Research for Simple Qualitative Data: An Introduction to CQR-M. *Consensual Qualitative Research: A Practical Resource for Investigating Social Sciences Phenomena*, 269-283.
- Speratti, A., Turmel, M. S., Calegari, A., Araujo-junior, C. F., Violic, A., Wall, P., & Govaerts, B. (2015). Conservation Agriculture in Latin America. In *Conservation Agriculture*. Springer International Publishing., 391-415.
- Strydom, W., & Puren, K. (2013). A Participatory Approach to Public Space Design as Informative for Place-Making. *Civil Engineering, Urban Planning and Architecture*, 8.



- Takyi, S. A., & Seidel, A. D. (2017, February 16). adaptative management in sustainable park planning and management: case study of the city of vancouver parks. *journal of Urban Ecology*, volume 3(Issue 1,1 January 2017).
- Thierfelder, C., & Wall, P. C. (2010). Investigating conservation agriculture (CA) systems in Zambia and Zimbabwe to mitigate future effects of climate change. *Journal of Crop Improvement*,24(2), 113-121.
- Thierfelder, Rusinamhodzi, Ngwira, Mupangwa, Nyagumbo, Kassie, & Cairns. (2014). Conservation Agriculture in Southern Africa :Advances in knowledge. *Renewable Agriculture and Food systems*, 328-348.
- Tongco, M. D. (2007). Purposive sampling as a tool for informant selection. *A Journal of Plants, people and Applied Research*, 148-158.
- Tow, P., Cooper, I., Partridge, I., & Birch, C. (2011). *Rainfed farming Systems*. New york: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Triplett, G. B., & Dick, W. A. (2008). No-tillage crop production: a revolution in agriculture. *Agronomy journal*, 100(Supplement\_3), 153.
- Tzoulas, J. p., Adams, M. D., Barber, A. B., Breuste, J. E., Smith,, R. M., Warren, , P. H., & Gaston, , K. G. (2009). Towards an integrated understanding of green space in the European built environment. *Urban Forestry and Greening*. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2009.02.001>
- UN-Habitat. (2009). *Global Report on Human Settlement 2009: planning Sustainable Cities*. London Earthscan.
- UN-Habitat. (2016). *Global Public Space Toolkit : Form Global principles to Local Policies and Practices*. (D. O'Reilly, Ed.) Nairobi: UN-Habitat.
- UN-Habitat. (2016;). *Global Public Space Toolkit: From Global Principles to Local Policies and Practices*. (D. O'Reilly, Ed.) Nairobi, Kenya.
- United Cities and Local Government. (2016). *Public Space and Policy Framework*.
- United Nations. (2012). *World Urbanization Prospects : The 2011 Revisions*. New York,: NY: United Nations.
- Vaismoradi, M. T. (2013). Content analysis and thematic analysis: Implications for conducting a qualitative descriptive study. *Nursing & health sciences*, 398-405.



- Wall, P. C. (2007). tailoring conservation agriculture to the needs of small farmers in developing countries: an analysis of issues. *journal of Crop Improvement*, pp. 137-155;.
- Wolch, J. R., Byrne, J., & Newell, J. P. (2014). Urban green space, public health, and environmental justice: the challenge of making cities 'just green enough'. *landscape and urban planning*.
- World Bank. (1999). *Report From International Workshop on Community Based-Natural Resources Management (CBNRM)*. Washington D.C. Retrieved October 22, 2017, from <http://www.worldbank.org/wbi/conatrem>
- Yaffee, L. S., & Wondolleck, J. (2003). Collaborative Ecosystem Planning Processes in The United States. *Evolution and Challenges. Environments*. doi:31(2): 59-72
- Yenish, J. P., Worsham, A. D., & York, A. C. (815-821). Cover crops for herbicide replacement in no-tillage corn (*Zea mays*). *Weed Technology*, , 1996.
- Yin, R. (2013). *Qualitative Research from Star to Finish*. Guilford Publication.
- Zhou, L. M., Li, F. M., Jin, S. L., & Song, Y. J. (2009). How two ridges and furrows mulched with plastic film affect soil water, soil temperature and yield of maize on the semi arid Loess Plateau of China. *Field Crops Res.113*, 41-47.