



International Journal of Marketing and Technology

(ISSN: 2249-1058)

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
<u>1</u>	Current status and strategies of Electronic waste management in Bangladesh. Md. Ziaul Haque, Mohammad Rezaul Karim and Mohammad Sarwar Hossain Islam	<u>1-24</u>
<u>2</u>	Obstacles To Information System Adoption In Higher Learning Institutions (HLIS) In Dar Es Salaam-Tanzania. Dr. George Kanire and Dr. Richard Nyangosi	<u>25-43</u>
<u>3</u>	An Empirical Investigation Of The Determinants Of Deposit Money Bank's Investment In Treasury Bills In Nigeria (1970-2009). Chris O Udoka and Roland Anyingang A.	<u>44-61</u>
<u>4</u>	Self Consciousness Among The Atm Users Of E-Banking Service. Armin Mahmoudi	<u>62-75</u>
<u>5</u>	Role Of Banks In Financial Inclusion Process In India. T. Ravikumar	<u>76-102</u>
<u>6</u>	A Study Of Organisational Development: Exploring The Impact Of High Performing Employees Through Job Satisfaction. Dr. Syed Khalid Perwez and S. Mohamed Saleem	<u>103-127</u>
<u>7</u>	Community Participation In Minimizing Leakage: A Case Study In Manas National Park. Birinchi Choudhury and Chandan Goswami	<u>128-147</u>
<u>8</u>	Effect of After Sales Services of Cars in Building Customer Loyalty. Mr. Nikhil Monga and Dr. Bhuvnender Chaudhary	<u>148-171</u>
<u>9</u>	Foreign Direct Investment On India's Automobile Sector. K. Rajalakshmi and Dr. T. Ramachandran	<u>172-207</u>
<u>10</u>	Store Image Dimensions: Customers' Perception. Ms. Sangeeta Mohanty	<u>208-225</u>
<u>11</u>	Globalisation: Impact Of Fii's Investment On Stock Indices, Equity And Debt Markets, Market Capitalisation Of Bse And Nse And Exchange Rates Of India – (1999-2009). Dr. Hala Raman	<u>226-254</u>
<u>12</u>	Measuring The Women's Involvement In Purchase Making Decisions. Atul Kumar	<u>255-276</u>
<u>13</u>	Challenges, Methodologies and Management Issues in the Usability Testing of Mobile Applications. Shashiraj Teotia, Shashi and Raviraj Teotia	<u>277-292</u>
<u>14</u>	E Commerce In India – The Way To Shop. Raj Kumar Sharma and Dr. Sambit Kumar Mishra	<u>293-313</u>
<u>15</u>	M-Commerce Challenge Model for Quality control. AMIT YADAV, SUMIT BHATNAGAR and SANJEEV PANWAR	<u>314-331</u>
<u>16</u>	FDI and Indian Retail Sector – The Path Ahead. Dr Surender Kumar Gupta	<u>332-347</u>

Chief Patron

Dr. JOSE G. VARGAS-HERNANDEZ

Member of the National System of Researchers, Mexico

Research professor at University Center of Economic and Managerial Sciences,

University of Guadalajara

Director of Mass Media at Ayuntamiento de Cd. Guzman

Ex. director of Centro de Capacitacion y Adiestramiento

Patron

Dr. Mohammad Reza Noruzi

PhD: Public Administration, Public Sector Policy Making Management,

Tarbiat Modarres University, Tehran, Iran

Faculty of Economics and Management, Tarbiat Modarres University, Tehran, Iran

Young Researchers' Club Member, Islamic Azad University, Bonab, Iran

Chief Advisors

Dr. NAGENDRA. S.

Senior Asst. Professor,

Department of MBA, Mangalore Institute of Technology and Engineering, Moodabidri

Dr. SUNIL KUMAR MISHRA

Associate Professor,

Dronacharya College of Engineering, Gurgaon, INDIA

Mr. GARRY TAN WEI HAN

Lecturer and Chairperson (Centre for Business and Management),

Department of Marketing, University Tunku Abdul Rahman, MALAYSIA

MS. R. KAVITHA

Assistant Professor,

Aloysius Institute of Management and Information, Mangalore, INDIA

Dr. A. JUSTIN DIRAVIAM

Assistant Professor,

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, Sardar Raja College of Engineering,

Alangulam Tirunelveli, TAMIL NADU, INDIA

Editorial Board

Dr. CRAIG E. REESE

Professor, School of Business, St. Thomas University, Miami Gardens

Dr. S. N. TAKALIKAR

Principal, St. Johns Institute of Engineering, PALGHAR (M.S.)

Dr. RAMPRATAP SINGH

Professor, Bangalore Institute of International Management, KARNATAKA

Dr. P. MALYADRI

Principal, Government Degree College, Osmania University, TANDUR

Dr. Y. LOKESWARA CHOUDARY

Asst. Professor Cum, SRM B-School, SRM University, CHENNAI

Prof. Dr. TEKI SURAYYA

Professor, Adikavi Nannaya University, ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA

Dr. T. DULABABU

Principal, The Oxford College of Business Management, BANGALORE

Dr. A. ARUL LAWRENCE SELVAKUMAR

Professor, Adhiparasakthi Engineering College, MELMARAVATHUR, TN

Dr. S. D. SURYAWANSHI

Lecturer, College of Engineering Pune, SHIVAJINAGAR

Dr. S. KALIYAMOORTHY

Professor & Director, Alagappa Institute of Management, KARAIKUDI

Prof S. R. BADRINARAYAN

Sinhgad Institute for Management & Computer Applications, PUNE

Mr. GURSEL ILIPINAR

ESADE Business School, Department of Marketing, SPAIN

Mr. ZEESHAN AHMED

Software Research Eng, Department of Bioinformatics, GERMANY

Mr. SANJAY ASATI

Dept of ME, M. Patel Institute of Engg. & Tech., GONDIA(M.S.)

Mr. G. Y. KUDALE

N.M.D. College of Management and Research, GONDIA(M.S.)

Editorial Advisory Board

Dr. MANJIT DAS

Assistant Professor, Deptt. of Economics, M.C.College, ASSAM

Dr. ROLI PRADHAN

Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology, BHOPAL

Dr. N. KAVITHA

Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Mekelle University, ETHIOPIA

Prof C. M. MARAN

Assistant Professor (Senior), VIT Business School, TAMIL NADU

Dr. RAJIV KHOSLA

Associate Professor and Head, Chandigarh Business School, MOHALI

Dr. S. K. SINGH

Asst. Professor, R. D. Foundation Group of Institutions, MODINAGAR

Dr. (Mrs.) MANISHA N. PALIWAL

Associate Professor, Sinhgad Institute of Management, PUNE

Dr. (Mrs.) ARCHANA ARJUN GHATULE

Director, SPSPM, SKN Sinhgad Business School, MAHARASHTRA

Dr. NEELAM RANI DHANDA

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, kuk, HARYANA

Dr. FARAH NAAZ GAURI

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, AURANGABAD

Prof. Dr. BADAR ALAM IQBAL

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, UP

Dr. CH. JAYASANKARAPRASAD

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Business Management, Krishna University, A. P., INDIA

Technical Advisors

Mr. Vishal Verma

Lecturer, Department of Computer Science, Ambala, INDIA

Mr. Ankit Jain

Department of Chemical Engineering, NIT Karnataka, Mangalore, INDIA

Associate Editors

Dr. SANJAY J. BHAYANI

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, RAJKOT, INDIA

MOID UDDIN AHMAD

Assistant Professor, Jaipuria Institute of Management, NOIDA

Dr. SUNEEL ARORA

Assistant Professor, G D Goenka World Institute, Lancaster University, NEW DELHI

Mr. P. PRABHU

Assistant Professor, Alagappa University, KARAIKUDI

Mr. MANISH KUMAR

Assistant Professor, DBIT, Deptt. Of MBA, DEHRADUN

Mrs. BABITA VERMA

Assistant Professor, Bhilai Institute Of Technology, DURG

Ms. MONIKA BHATNAGAR

Assistant Professor, Technocrat Institute of Technology, BHOPAL

Ms. SUPRIYA RAHEJA

Assistant Professor, CSE Department of ITM University, GURGAON

Title

**AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION OF THE DETERMINANTS OF
DEPOSIT MONEY BANK'S INVESTMENT IN TREASURY BILLS
IN NIGERIA (1970-2009)**

Author(s)

CHRIS O UDOKA, Ph.D

Department of Banking and Finance,

Faculty of Management Sciences,

University of Calabar,

Calabar-Nigeria

ROLAND ANYINGANG A.

Department of Banking and Finance,

Faculty of Management Sciences,

University of Calabar,

Calabar-Nigeria

Abstract:

This study is an empirical investigation of the determinants of deposit money bank's investment in treasury bills in Nigeria (1970-2009). One hypothesis was formulated to guide and direct the study. The hypothesis formulated was stated thus, there is no significant linear relationship between changes in each of the explanatory variables namely; treasury bill rate, total loan and advances, total liabilities, average lending rate, average liquidity ratio and deposit money banks investments in treasury bills. Data for the study was collected from the CBN statistical bulletin and annual report of various years up to 2009. Data were analyzed, tested using the ordinary least square estimation procedures. Findings resulting from the test showed that the five variables used were able to explain 97 per cent of the total systemic variations in bank's investments in treasury bills. It was recommended that; the regulatory authorities should make treasury bill rate attractive to deposit money banks in other to ensure that they subscribe a significant percentage of the treasury bill issued by the central bank. Top management of banks should develop adequate and effective strategies aimed at mobilizing adequate deposit for their operations.

Key words: Determinants of deposit money banks' investments, Treasury bills.

Introduction:

One of the basic economic problems confronting individuals, firms and even the government is how to allocate scarce financial resources among competing ends, in order to maximize wealth (Akinlo, 2005). For profit maximizing institutions like deposit money banks, this problem is basically that of portfolio management. This challenge is more pronounced in these banks because their major source of funds is through customers' deposits, which is mostly short term in nature. They are also payable on demand in the case of demand and savings deposits or after a very short notice in the case of time or fixed deposit (Udoka, 2007).

It should be remembered that the basic objectives of bank portfolio management are to provide the bank with liquidity, solvency and income (profitability) (Mbat, 2009). Liquidity implies that a bank's portfolio policies should be designed so as to enable the bank to maintain adequate degree of liquidity, necessary to meet varied demand for funds. Specifically, a bank

should maintain highly liquid assets in sufficient amounts to meet depositors' withdrawals as well as legitimate loan requests. Solvency denotes that bank portfolio policies must be guided by prudence such that lending and investment are undertaken only when there is almost a complete assurance that the principal and interest will be repaid as they fall due (Albu, 2006). Thus, while the achievement of adequate liquidity level is a short – run objective, the achievement of solvency is a long – run objective of bank management. The objective of profitability implies that bank policies should be geared towards achieving sufficient income on bank portfolios, so that operating costs can be met and banks can also continue as a going concern. In order for banks to achieve the above dual objectives, they must achieve a certain pattern and distribution of their assets. Portfolio management is therefore the combination of various classes of assets in order to achieve an optimum balance between liquidity and solvency on the one hand, and income on the other.

Considering the dual role of bank's investments namely, serving their liquidity and profitability needs, the basic problem of this paper is to investigate empirically the factors that determine banks investments in treasury bills with a view to offering some suggestions which might ensure effective management of their portfolios. In view of the above scenario, the purpose of this study is three – fold, namely:

- i. To determine the variables that affect deposit money bank's investments in treasury bills.
- ii. To ascertain the relative importance of the variables identified in (1) above.
- iii. To suggest possible strategies that banks could employ for the effective management of their treasury bills investments.

This study is of significance as the recommendations based on the research findings would indeed be useful to:

- i. The management of deposit money banks in effective planning of their investments in treasury bills, this would enable them satisfy not only the liquidity expectation of their depositors but also the profitability expectation of their owners.
- ii. The monetary authorities in their attempt to regulate the activities of the deposit money banks and particularly in mopping up excess liquidity from the banking system.

iii. It is expected that other researchers who are interested in this area of research would key into it.

For simplicity and ease of comprehension, this paper is divided into five sections. Section one is the introduction. It dwells on the allocation of financial resources into investible outlets for the purpose of maximizing wealth. Section two delves into the literature review, enunciating recent position of scholars on liquidity management. Section three is the methodology, in section four, data obtained are presented, analysed and tested for informed judgment. Section five is the final section and dwells on emerging findings arising from the discussion of the paper for managerial considerations.

2. Theoretical considerations /literature review

There is a large body of literature on bank liquidity management. The major ones are commercial loan theory, otherwise referred to as the “traditional theory” or “real bills doctrine”. Which posits that commercial banks, because of their funding base, should make only short – term self – liquidity productive loans (Mbat, 1995). This is followed by the shiftability theory enunciated by moulton and Mitchell (1925). They aver that any single bank will be in a liquid position only if it possesses assets, regardless of their nature, which can be shifted (sold) readily to others when funds are needed. According to the theory, the problem of liquidity is not so much a problem of maturing loans, but one of shifting assets to other banks for cash at satisfactory prices. The third theory, which is the anticipated income theory, developed by Prochnow (1949), depends on loan portfolio as the source of liquidity. It holds that a bank’s liquidity can be planned if scheduled loan payments are based on the future income of the borrower. It does not, however, deny the applicability of the “real bills and “shiftability” theories. Rather ,it emphasizes the desirability of relating loan repayments to income rather than relying heavily on collaterals. Also, it recognizes the influence the maturity structure of the loan and investment portfolios have on bank liquidity(folawetvo,2002). The forth theory is the liability management, developed in the 1960s. It posits that a bank can meet both short and long term liquidity needs by bidding in the market for additional funds. According to woodworth (1971), this theory has been upheld by most banks in developed countries. According to the liability management theory, a bank no longer needs to observe traditional standards with respect

to self – liquidating loans and liquidity reserve assets, since such funds can be acquired in money market whenever a bank needs liquidity. In this way a bank can meet its liquidity needs by creating additional liabilities (Roussakis, 1997). Thus, the present work is anchored on the liability management paradigm.

Some studies aimed at investigating the behaviour of bank's investment portfolios are prevalent. Such studies are those of Mash (1978), Nwankwo (1980) and Roussakis (1977) specified and estimated four commercial bank equations for Pakistan using annual data from 1955/56 -1969/70. The four equations related to the determinants of

- (i) Supply of banks credit,
- (ii) Demand for bank credit,
- (iii) Bank's borrowings from the central bank, and
- (iv) Bank's holding of government securities.

The fourth one provides the economic base for this study. In that estimation, the study found the determinants of bank's holdings of government securities to include the volume of deposits, weighted average interest rates on government securities, excess liquid assets, government deficit financing and the volume of loans and advances. (Ayida, 2007).

In his analysis of commercial banks assets composition, Nwankwo (1980) observed that investments act as a cushion between liquid assets and loans. Consequently, they can be made liquid to augment liquidity and thereby increase loans and advances. Similarly, in periods of excess liquidity and poor or low effective demand for loans, investments absorb excess liquidity. According to him, the volume of banks investments is a function of three factors namely, their availability, the state of demand for loan and the state of liquidity. Roussakis (1977), examining the establishment of banks investment portfolio, argues that maximizing income must not be taken to imply purchasing instruments that offer the highest yields. Rather, it means that the aim of a bank's portfolio policy should be to obtain the maximum income with the minimum exposure to risk. Thus, according to him in designing an investment portfolio, the board of directors must take into account a number of basic considerations namely, the quality of the securities to be bought, geographical and industrial diversification of risk, tax considerations, the general level of interest rates at the time that securities are being purchased and maturities in the

portfolio.(Hannoun,2008). In addition the study observed that investment in securities may be used as collateral against the central bank advances can be the subject of repurchase agreement with security dealers and can be pledged against public deposits in compliance with such requirements.

3. Research methodology

This paper is on an empirical investigation of the determinants of deposit money bank's investment in treasury bills in Nigeria. Secondary data were obtained from the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) statistical bulletin (1970-2009), CBN annual report and statement of accounts from various years up to 2009 and the CBN, Nigeria's major economic, financial and banking indicators of various years. The data would be analyzed, tested and interpreted in order to facilitate a valid conclusion on the determinants of deposit money banks investment in treasury bills in Nigeria. The major statistical tool used in the study is the multiple regression statistical technique. The model formulated for the study is given by

$$TBI = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 TRR + \alpha_2 TLA + \alpha_3 TDL + \alpha_4 ALR + \alpha_5 LALQ + \mu$$

Where

LTBI = total value of deposit money banks in treasury bills (₦, Million)

TBR= Treasury bill rate

LTLA = Bank Total loan and advances (₦, Million)

TDL = bank total deposit liabilities ((₦, Million)

ALR = Bank average lending rate (percent)

LALQ = Bank average liquidity ratio (percent)

μ = Error (disturbance) term which is incorporated in the model to capture the influence of omitted explanatory variable of the quantitative factor which could not be measured statistically

α_0 = constant or the intercept

$\alpha_0 - \alpha_5$ = equation parameters

The study tested the hypothesis that:

H_0 : There is no significant linear relationship between changes in each of the explanatory variables namely; treasury bill rate, total loan and advances, total liabilities, average lending rate, average liquidity ratio and deposit money banks investments in treasury bills.

H_1 : There is a significant linear relationship between changes in each of the explanatory variables namely; treasury bill rate, total loan and advances, total liabilities, average lending rate, average liquidity ratio and deposit money banks investments in treasury bills.

4. Data analysis

The results of the empirical test on the determinants of deposit money bank's investment in treasury bills in Nigeria, 1970-200, based on multiple least squares (MLS) estimate procedure are presented in Table 1

Table 1: Major variables used in the study

Year	TBI	TBR	TLA	TDL	ALR	ALQ
1970	786.1	4	351.3	624.7	7.5	93.9
1971	562.9	4	502	656.9	8.5	55.5
1972	455.8	4	619.5	793.8	8.5	58
1973	796.5	4	753.5	1013	8.5	47.3
1974	1430.3	4	938.1	1693.9	8.5	65.4
1975	1614.4	3.5	1537.3	2839.2	7.5	56.4
1976	1669.8	2.5	2122.9	4167.3	8	47.7
1977	2182.5	3	3074.6	5237.1	6	38.2
1978	2056.8	4	4109.7	5378.1	9	31.4
1979	3991.2	4	4624.4	6975	9.25	53.1
1980	6096	5	6779.4	10642.7	8.5	42.2
1981	5443.2	5	9316.7	11559.2	8.88	36.5
1982	7545.6	7	11303.8	13220.8	11	61.6
1983	15805.2	7	12285.5	15434	10.75	56.9
1984	24793.2	8.5	13189.2	18042.7	12.75	70.4

1985	29817.6	8.5	13973.2	20192.5	10.5	65.8
1986	22465.2	8.5	18475	21306.8	11.25	36.9
1987	17404.8	11.75	21633.2	26469.7	18.35	44.3
1988	21421.2	11.75	24470.6	33882.7	17.06	44.9
1989	14774.4	17.5	28163.8	30990.6	27.6	43.5
1990	16686	17.5	33916.1	43869	27.74	45.1
1991	28142.4	15	43626.6	59476.7	20.62	33
1992	32935.2	21	54799.9	87738.2	32.8	28.1
1993	19482	26.9	75076	129284.3	44.03	31.6
1994	32935.2	12.5	107075.8	163903	21	53
1995	36020.4	12.5	168170.9	196818	20.7	38.7
1996	79761.6	12.25	193469.4	239284.4	20.36	39.3
1997	163168.8	12	272074.2	295164.7	19.46	38.5
1998	136438.8	12.95	317569.8	349313.9	21.2	41
1999	347979.6	17	386108.8	508807	25.83	53.1
2000	868679.2	12	548290.9	769011.8	21.85	55.2
2001	686183	12.95	748144.2	947182.9	19.82	55.1
2002	998915.5	15.02	845682	739532.9	21.48	58.2
2003	1394845	15.02	1041664	1337296.2	21.48	49.7
2004	1403052	14.21	1294450	1661482.1	19.89	52
2005	1257195	7	1519243	2036089.9	19.49	50.2
2006	771570	8.8	2524298	3245156.5	18.7	55.7
2007	587315	6.91	4813489	5001470.5	18.36	48.8
2008	383700	4.5	6885598	7610296	18.7	44.3
2009	435785	4.5	6701646	8332820.2	22.9	30.7

TBI = total value of deposit money banks in treasury bills (N, Million), TBR= Treasury bill rate

TLA = Bank Total loan and advances (N, Million), TDL = bank total deposit liabilities ((N, Million)

ALR = Bank average lending rate (percent), ALQ = Bank average liquidity ratio (percent)

Table 2: Regression result of the linear relationship between changes in each of the explanatory variables namely; treasury bill rate, total loan and advances, total liabilities, average lending rate, average liquidity ratio and deposit money banks investments in treasury bills

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		T	Sig.
	B	Std. Error		
1 (Constant)	-.232	.206	-1.126	.268
TBR	.088	.014	6.091	.000
LTDL	.952	.035	26.877	.000
ALR	-.063	.012	-5.267	.000
ALQ	.010	.003	3.583	.001

$$R^2 = 0.97$$

$$\text{Adj } R^2 = 0.97$$

$$F\text{-Statistics} = 284.47$$

$$DW = 1.58$$

Dependent Variable: LTBI

The R^2 value of 0.97 in Table 2 indicates that about 97 per cent changes in the dependent variable total value of deposit money banks in treasury bills is caused by changes in the explanatory variables namely treasury bill rate, total loan and advances, total liabilities, average lending rate, average liquidity ratio and deposit money banks investments in treasury bills. This implies that only 3 per cent changes in the dependent variable is caused by others variables not found in the equation but measured by the error term.

The Adjusted R^2 value of 0.97 means that the model is about 97 per cent goodness fit. The F-value of 284.47 which is significant at 0.05 alpha level of significant means that there exist a significant relationship between the dependent variable of total value of deposit money

banks in treasury bills is caused by changes in the explanatory variables namely treasury bill rate, total loan and advances, total liabilities, average lending rate, average liquidity ratio and deposit money banks investments in treasury bills.

The estimated coefficient for Treasury bill rate (TBR), bank total deposit liabilities TDL, and Bank average liquidity ratio (ALQ) are positive, indicating that increase in these variables will certainly leads to increase in total value of deposit money banks in treasury bills (TBI). This result is in order with economic a priori condition. The results are all statistically significant at 0.05 level of significance.

The estimated coefficient for bank average lending rate (ALR) is negative, indicating that increase in the lending rate will certainly leads to a decrease in the total value of deposit money banks in treasury bills (TBI). This result is in line with economic expectations. The result is statistically significant at 5 per cent level of significance.

5. Summary of findings

All our explanatory variables namely, treasury bills rate, total loans and advances, total deposit liabilities, average lending rate, average liquidity ratio were individually and collectively found to significantly influence banks investments in treasury bills.

We also observed that the five variables stated above were able to explain 97 per cent of the total systemic variations in banks investments in treasury bills. This implies that only 3 per cent of the systemic variations was explained by factors omitted from our model. This finding underscores the importance of these variables in bank portfolio management and the need for top management banks and the monetary authorities to develop adequate and effective strategies in managing them.

Moreover, the study found that the five explanatory variables were not of equal importance in explaining the observed variations in banks investments in treasury bills. The variations were ranked in descending order of their importance as follows, total deposit liabilities, treasury bills rates, average lending rates, average liquidity ratios and total loans and advances. Again, this gives a pointer to both the regulatory authorities and top management of

banks on which factors to focus their search lights on, in an attempt for the former to regulate the industry or the latter to manage bank portfolio effectively.

Conclusion:

This study attempted an empirical determination of the variables that affect banks investments in treasury bills as well as their relative importance. Using the ordinary least squares method, it was found that all the explanatory variables, namely, treasury bills rates, total loans and advances, total deposit liabilities, average lending rates and average liquidity ratios were individually and collectively significant in explaining variations in banks investments in treasury bills. In particular, it was observed that these variables as a group explain 97 per cent of the systemic variations were found to exert unequal impact on banks investments in treasury bills. While total deposit liabilities exerted the greatest influence, total loans and advances exerted the least influence. The treasury bills rates, average rates and average liquidity ratio ranked second, third and fourth respectively.

On the basis of our major findings, we have offered some policy recommendations aimed at ensuring a more effective management of banks deposit liabilities, loans, and advances, excess liquidity assets as well as a more effective management of treasury bills rate by the central bank of Nigeria.

Recommendations:

On the basis of the findings of this study the following policy recommendations:

- i. Top management of banks should develop adequate and effective strategies aimed at mobilizing adequate deposit for their operations. This can be accomplished by improving the quality of their services to customers, adopting modern marketing technique in marketing their services and enlightening customers on the range of accounts they operate and additional product or services they can offer. In addition, bank employees should be encouraged to develop the right and positive attitude to work and banks should enhance good bank – customer relationship. The recent practice in the industry whereby

some banks try to de –market others using various reasons such as distress or inability to meet consolidation dead line with a view to attracting customers to themselves is unprofessional, unethical and hence completely uncalled for.

- ii. The regulatory authorities should make treasury bill rate attractive to deposit money banks in order to ensure that they subscribe a significant percentage of the treasury bill issued by the central bank. A situation whereby the central bank issues the bills and buys back a significant proportion of it because of unattractiveness of the rate is not healthy for the banking system. In particular, the central bank may not be in a position to effectively regulate the banking system or mop up excess liquidity if the treasury bills rate is not made attractive to the banks.
- iii. The banks should ensure a more effective management of their loan portfolio. In particular, they should adopt proper and effective loan appraisal and review procedures to minimize the incidence of bad and doubtful debts. Funded projects should be adequately supervised and loan collection policies regularly reviewed. These measures, apart from improving the quality of their loan portfolios may also improve their overall liquidity position as the cash necessary to meet new loan requests could be obtained from the loan portfolio.

References:

- Akinlo, A, E (2005). Impact of macro-economic factors on total factor productivity in Sub-Saharan countries. World Institute for Development Economic Research Paper, 39: 231-254
- Albu, L (2006). Trends in the interest rate investment in GDP growth relationship. Romanian Journal of Economic Forecast. 3: 26-32
- Ayida, O.E (2007). Structural adjustment financial development and economic prosperity in Nigeria. International Journal of Financial Economics, 19: 104-114
- CBN (2009). Statistical bulletin Vol 20. Abuja
- Folawetvo, N (2002). Interest rate policy and the promotion of savings: interest and resource mobilization in Nigeria. Ibadan, Research Report 24 Development policy center

- Hannoun, H. (2008). Financial deepening without financial excesses, Bank of International Settlement
- Mbat, D.O (1995). Money banking and financial market: An introduction. Calabar: University of Calabar Press
- Mbat, D.O (2009). Monograph series on Bank lending and loan administration, University of Calabar, Nigeria.
- Masih, A.M.M (2008). Specification and estimation of commercial banks equation in a developing economy: The case of Pakistan. The Nigeria Journal of Economic and Social Studies 20 (1) 23-32
- Michell, W. F (1999). The use of bank funds. Chicago: University of Chicago Press
- Prochnow, H.V. (1949). Term loan and theories of bank liquidity. New York: Prentice Hall, Inc
- Nwankwo, G.O (1980). The Nigerian financial system, London: Macmillan Press
- Moulton, H.G (1918). Commercial banking and capital formation. The Journal of Political Economy. 26(7). 32-38
- Roussakis, E.N (1977). Managing commercial bank fund. New York: Praeger Publisher
- Udoka, C.O (2007). Sound bank lending imperatives as a panacea for bad and doubtful loans in Nigeria, 1980-2005. Tropical Focus 8 (3)89-100
- Reed, E.N (1976). Commercial banking. Englewood Cliff: Prentice Hall Inc.
- Woodworth, G. W. (1971). Theories of cyclical liquidity management of commercial banks. Homewood: Richard Irwin